
# FY 2024 - 2027

**Transportation Improvement Program** 







Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Liberty Consolidated Planning Commission

Adopted: August 10, 2023

Administrative Modification #1: November 8, 2023
Administrative Modification #2: February 8, 2024
Administrative Modification #3: February 8, 2024
Administrative Modification #4: August 19, 2024
Administrative Modification #5: November 27, 2024
Administrative Modification #6: February 15, 2025
Administrative Modification #7: February 24, 2025
Administrative Modification #8: March 10, 2025
Administrative Modification #9: March 12, 2025
Administrative Modification #10: March 27, 2025
Administrative Modification #11: April 11, 2025
Administrative Modification #12: May 15, 2025
Administrative Modification #13: June 18, 2025

Amendment #1: February 8, 2024
Amendment #2: June 13, 2024
Amendment #3: August 8, 2024
Amendment #4: December 12, 2024
Amendment #5: February 13, 2025
Amendment #6: PENDING

This publication was prepared in cooperation with the Department of Transportation, State of Georgia, and Federal Highway Administration. The opinions, findings, and conclusions in these publications are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the Department of Transportation, State of Georgia, or the Federal Highway Administration.

Public notice of public involvement activities and time established for public review of comment on the Transportation Improvement Program will satisfy Program of Projects requirements

For more information regarding this plan or any other HAMPO activity, please contact us at:

Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization c\o Liberty Consolidated Planning Commission 100 Main Street, Suite 7520
Hinesville, Georgia 31313

Phone: 1-912-408-2030 Fax: 1-888-320-8007

Visit our website for the most up-to-date information and downloadable documents at <a href="https://www.thelcpc.org">www.thelcpc.org</a>.

Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) is committed to assuring full compliance with all civil rights provisions of federal statutes and related authorities that prohibit discrimination in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance. These laws include but are not limited to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VI"), the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (P.L. 100.259),

Section 162 (a) of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1973 (23 USC 324) (sex),

Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990,

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended.

HAMPO does not discriminate against persons in the provision of its programs, services or activities.

July 24, 2025 Page 2 of 72

### **Table of Contents**

Resolution of Adoption	4
Amendments	5
Administrative Modifications	12
Introduction	16
Transportation Improvement Program	16
Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL)	17
Approval Process	18
Standing Committees	18
Public Participation	22
Overview	22
Performance Management	23
Transportation Improvement Program Lump Sum Projects	24
TIP Formula Funds	27
MPO Lump Sum Projects	27
Lump Sum Projects Currently Authorized	27
TIP Authorized Projects	28
TIP Expected Highway STIP Funds	29
TIP State and Federally Approved Funds: Project Cost Details	30
TIP Project Location Map and Project Sheets	35
Transit	55
Liberty Transit	55
Coastal Regional Coaches	58
Federal and State Funded Aviation Projects	58
Amendment Process	60
Public Involvement Materials	63
Self-Certification	66
Discretionary Grant Matrix	71
Performance Management and Performance Measures	72

### **RESOLUTION OF ADOPTION**

# RESOLUTION OF THE HINESVILLE AREA METRPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION ADOPTING THE FY 2024-2027 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) has been designated by the Governor of the State of Georgia as the Metropolitan Planning Organization responsible for conducting transportation planning activities in the Hinesville Metropolitan Planning Area consisting of urbanized Long County, Liberty County, Fort Stewart Military Reservation, the Town of Allenhurst, and the Cities of Flemington, Gum Branch, Hinesville, Midway, Riceboro and Walthourville; and

WHEREAS, the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization, in accordance with federal requirements for a Transportation Improvement Program, has developed a four-year integrated program of federally funded highway and transit projects for the Hinesville Metropolitan Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program was developed through a continuous, comprehensive, and cooperative planning process in coordination with state and local officials; and

WHEREAS, the urban transportation planning regulations provide for the certification of the process by the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Improvement Program is consistent with all plans, goals, and objectives of the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization, and shall be updated with revision to reflect changes in program emphasis and funding availability; and

WHEREAS, the locally developed and adopted process for public participation has been followed in the development of the Transportation Improvement Program; and

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee endorses the attached Transportation Improvement Program for the FY 2024-2027.

**CERTIFICATION,** I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of the Resolution adopted by the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee on August 10, 2023.

ATTEST:

Policy Committee Chair

Date

Jeff Ricketson, AICP LCPC Executive Director

August 10, 2023

### **A**MENDMENTS

Amendment #1: Amendment #1 updates the project cost for the construction phases of PI 522570 and PI 0017411. The updated project costs by project phase and total project cost can be viewed on the project sheet on pages 26 and 29.



Amendment #2: Amendment #2 updates the project cost for the Y001 construction phase for PI 0017411 (I-95 FM FLORIDA STATE LINE TO S CAROLINA STATE LINE-ITS EXP). The updated project costs by project phase and total project cost can be viewed on the project sheet on page 27.

Jeff Ricketson, LCPC Executive Director

12/12/2029 Date

Amendment #3: Amendment #3 updates the project cost for the UTL and CST for PI 522570- (SR 38 Bypass from SR 38/US 84 to SR 119). The updated project costs by project phase and total project cost can be viewed on the project sheet on page 32.

Jeff Ricketson, LCPC Executive Director

12/12/2024 Date

Amendment #4: Amendment #4 adds a new project to the TIP document, PI 0020353 (EV CHARGING STATION @ 1 LOC IN LIBERTY/MCINTOSH COUNTY). The new project sheet can be seen on page 36.

Jeff Ricketson, LCPC Executive Director

12/12/2029 Date

July 24, 2025 Page 5 of 72

Amendment #5: Amendment #5 adds the project cost for the PE phase of PI 0020353 (EV CHARGING STATION @ 1 LOC IN LIBERTY/MCINTOSH COUNTY). This amendment also updates the FY 2025 budgets for several projects in the detailed cost summary and cost detail tables, adds a new discretionary grant matrix, calendar year 2025 PM1 performance measures and new administrative modifications and amendments requirements. The updated project costs by project phase and total project cost can be viewed on the project sheet on page 41. The updated cost summary and cost detail tables can be viewed on pages 23-28. The new discretionary grant matrix can be viewed in the Appendix. The PM1 performance measures for calendar year 2026 can be viewed in the System Performance Report. The new language for administrative modifications and amendments can be viewed on pages 47-49.

can be viewed in the Appendix. The PM1 performance moviewed in the System Performance Report. The new languamendments can be viewed on pages 47-49.  Jeff Rigketson, LCPC Executive Director	easures for calendar year 2026 can be
Amendment #6: Amendment #6 adds the FY 2026 Annua 2027 TIP. This includes the 2026 Total Highway funds (wit funds), the 2026 Amendment Project Detail and Project S through 35.	th total expected highway matched STIP
Jeff Ricketson, LCPC Executive Director	Date

July 24, 2025 Page 6 of 72

# HINESVILLE AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY COMMITTEE APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE FY 2024-2027 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) has been designated by the Governor of the State of Georgia as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for conducting transportation planning activities in the Hinesville urbanized area, which consists of urbanized Long County, Liberty County, Fort Stewart Military Reservation, the Town of Allenhurst, and the Cities of Flemington, Gum Branch, Hinesville, Midway, Riceboro and Walthourville; and

WHEREAS, the FY 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Program was developed through a continuous, comprehensive, and cooperative planning process in coordination with state and local officials; and

WHEREAS, the urban transportation planning regulations provide for the certification of the process by the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Improvement Program is consistent with all plans, goals, and objectives of the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization, and shall be updated with revision to reflect changes in program emphasis and funding availability; and

WHEREAS, the Technical Coordinating Committee at its January 11, 2024 meeting recommended that HAMPO support the updated funding budget totals for the construction phases for two projects as follows:

- PI 522570 SR 38 Bypass from SR 38 to SR 119
- PI 0017411 I-95 from Florida State Line to South Carolina State Line

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the HAMPO Policy Committee concurs with the recommendation of the HAMPO Technical Coordinating Committee to agree and support the updated project budgets for PI 522570 and PI 0017411 as approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation.

**CERTIFICATION:** I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee on February 8, 2024.

SIGNED:

Policy Committee Chair

ATTEST:

Jeff Ricketson, AICP

LCPC Executive Director

July 24, 2025 Page 7 of 72

# HINESVILLE AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY COMMITTEE APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE FY 2024-2027 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) has been designated by the Governor of the State of Georgia as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for conducting transportation planning activities in the Hinesville urbanized area, which consists of urbanized Long County, Liberty County, Fort Stewart Military Reservation, the Town of Allenhurst, and the Cities of Flemington, Gum Branch, Hinesville, Midway, Riceboro and Walthourville; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration have reviewed the organization and activities of the planning process and certified them to be in conformance with the requirements of law and regulations; and

WHEREAS, HAMPO, in accordance with federal requirements for a Transportation Improvement Plan, has developed a four-year integrated plan of federally-funded highway and transit projects for HAMPO Metropolitan Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is consistent with all plans, goals, and objectives of HAMPO and was adopted by the HAMPO Policy Committee on August 10, 2023; and

WHEREAS, the locally developed and adopted process for public participation has been followed in the development of the TIP amendment; and,

WHEREAS, the Technical Coordinating Committee at its May 9, 2024 meeting recommended that HAMPO support the amended Y001 construction phase for the line item approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation as follows:

PI# 0017411, I-95 FM Florida State Line to S. Carolina State Line – ITS EXP

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the HAMPO Policy Committee concurs with the recommendation of the HAMPO Technical Coordinating Committee to agree and support the updated line item budget as approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation.

**CERTIFICATION:** I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee on June 13, 2024.

SIGNED:

Policy Committee Chair

ATTEST:

leff Ricketson, AICP

LCPC Executive Director

July 24, 2025 Page 8 of 72

# HINESVILLE AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY COMMITTEE APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE FY 2024-2027 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) has been designated by the Governor of the State of Georgia as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for conducting transportation planning activities in the Hinesville urbanized area, which consists of urbanized Long County, Liberty County, Fort Stewart Military Reservation, the Town of Allenhurst, and the Cities of Flemington, Gum Branch, Hinesville, Midway, Riceboro and Walthourville; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration have reviewed the organization and activities of the planning process and certified them to be in conformance with the requirements of law and regulations; and

WHEREAS, HAMPO, in accordance with federal requirements for a Transportation Improvement Plan, has developed a four-year integrated plan of federally-funded highway and transit projects for HAMPO Metropolitan Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is consistent with all plans, goals, and objectives of HAMPO and was adopted by the HAMPO Policy Committee on August 10, 2023; and

**WHEREAS**, the locally developed and adopted process for public participation has been followed in the development of the TIP amendment; and,

WHEREAS, the Technical Coordinating Committee at its July 11, 2024 meeting recommended that HAMPO support the amended utilities and construction phases for the line item approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation as follows:

PI# 522570- SR 38 Bypass from SR 38/US 84 to SR 119 (Hinesville Bypass)

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the HAMPO Policy Committee concurs with the recommendation of the HAMPO Technical Coordinating Committee to agree and support the updated line item budget as approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation.

**CERTIFICATION:** I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee on August 8, 2024.

SIGNED:

onaid Lovette

Policy Committee Chair

ATTEST:

Jeff Ricketson, AICP

LCPC Executive Director

July 24, 2025 Page 9 of 72

# HINESVILLE AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY COMMITTEE APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE FY 2024-2027 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) has been designated by the Governor of the State of Georgia as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for conducting transportation planning activities in the Hinesville urbanized area, which consists of urbanized Long County, Liberty County, Fort Stewart Military Reservation, the Town of Allenhurst, and the Cities of Flemington, Gum Branch, Hinesville, Midway, Riceboro and Walthourville; and

**WHEREAS**, the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration have reviewed the organization and activities of the planning process and certified them to be in conformance with the requirements of law and regulations; and

WHEREAS, HAMPO, in accordance with federal requirements for a Transportation Improvement Plan, has developed a four-year integrated plan of federally-funded highway and transit projects for HAMPO Metropolitan Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is consistent with all plans, goals, and objectives of HAMPO and was adopted by the HAMPO Policy Committee on August 10, 2023; and

**WHEREAS**, the locally developed and adopted process for public participation has been followed in the development of the TIP amendment; and,

WHEREAS, the Technical Coordinating Committee at its December 5, 2024 meeting recommended that HAMPO support the addition of a new project into the TIP, approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation as follows:

PI# 0020353 (EV CHARGING STATION @ 1 LOC IN LIBERTY/MCINTOSH COUNTY)

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** that the HAMPO Policy Committee concurs with the recommendation of the HAMPO Technical Coordinating Committee to agree and support the updated line item budget as approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation.

**CERTIFICATION:** I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee on December 12, 2024.

SIGNED:

Policy Committee Chair

ATTEST:

LCPC Executive Director

July 24, 2025 Page 10 of 72

# HINESVILLE AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION POLICY COMMITTEE APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE FY 2024-2027 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) has been designated by the Governor of the State of Georgia as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for conducting transportation planning activities in the Hinesville urbanized area, which consists of urbanized Long County, Liberty County, Fort Stewart Military Reservation, the Town of Allenhurst, and the Cities of Flemington, Gum Branch, Hinesville, Midway, Riceboro and Walthourville; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration have reviewed the organization and activities of the planning process and certified them to be in conformance with the requirements of law and regulations; and

WHEREAS, HAMPO, in accordance with federal requirements for a Transportation Improvement Plan, has developed a four-year integrated plan of federally-funded highway and transit projects for HAMPO Metropolitan Planning Area; and

WHEREAS, the 2024-2027 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) is consistent with all plans, goals, and objectives of HAMPO and was adopted by the HAMPO Policy Committee on August 10, 2023; and

WHEREAS, the locally developed and adopted process for public participation has been followed in the development of the TIP amendment; and,

WHEREAS, the Technical Coordinating Committee at its January 9, 2025, meeting recommended that HAMPO support the amended preliminary engineering phases for the line item approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation as follows:

PI# 0020353 (EV CHARGING STATION @ 1 LOC IN LIBERTY/MCINTOSH COUNTY)

WHEREAS, the Technical Coordinating Committee at its January 9, 2025 meeting recommended that HAMPO support the inclusion of the following, at the request of the Georgia Department of Transportation:

- Discretionary Grant Matrix
- Calendar Year 2025 PM1 Performance Measures
- New Guidance for Amendments and Administrative Modifications

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the HAMPO Policy Committee concurs with the recommendation of the HAMPO Technical Coordinating Committee to agree and support the updated line item budget and new discretionary grant matrix, calendar year 2025 PM1 Performance Measures, and new Amendments and Administrative Modifications guidance as approved by the Georgia Department of Transportation.

**CERTIFICATION:** I hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of a Resolution adopted by the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Policy Committee on February 13, 2025.

SIGNED:

Policy Committee Chair

ATTEST:

Jeff Ricketson, AICP

LCPC Executive Director

date

July 24, 2025 Page 11 of 72

### **ADMINISTRATIVE MODIFICATIONS**

Administrative Modification #1: An administrative modification was performed on November 8, 2023 at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the local amount of funding for the UTL phase of PI 522570 – SR 38 Bypass from SR 38 to SR 119. This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 29.

CHIL	
	2-16-2024
Jeff Ricketson, LCPC Executive Director	Date

Administrative Modification #2: An administrative modification was performed on February 8, 2024 that incorporated the calendar year 2024 Safety Performance Measures into the Safety Performance Report. These measures can be viewed in the SPR Appendix.



Administrative Modification #3: An administrative modification was performed on February 8, 2024 at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the construction phase costs for PI 522570 – SR 38 Bypass from SR 38 to SR 119. This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 30.

Jeff Ricketson, LCPC Executive Director

Date

July 24, 2025 Page 12 of 72

Administrative Modification #4: An administrative modification was performed on August 19, 2024 at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the utility phase cost for PI 0016567 - CR 171/Lewis Frasier Road @ Peacock Creek. This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 12/12/2029 Jeff Ricketson, AICP, LCPC Executive Director Administrative Modification #5: An administrative modification was performed on November 27, 2024 at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the construction phase cost for PI 0017411 - I-95 FM Florida State line to S Carolina State Line - ITS EXP. This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 35. cketson, AICP, LCPC Executive Director Administrative Modification #6: An administrative modification was performed on February 14, 2025 at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the funding amounts and project name for PI 0017728 - OFF-SYSTEM SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS @ 16 LOCS IN LIBERTY COUNTY. This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 44. Ricketson, AICP, LCPC Executive Director Administrative Modification #7: An administrative modification was performed on February 24, 2025, at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the preliminary engineering phase of PI 0020353 – EV CHARGING STATION @ 1 LOC IN LIBERTY/MCINTOSH COUNTY. This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 44. 2/24/2025 AICP, LCPC Executive Director Administrative Modification #8: An administrative modification was performed on March 10, 2025, at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the administrative modification and amendment threshold language. This can be viewed on page 52.

July 24, 2025 Page 13 of 72

Jeff Ricketson, AICP, LCPC Executive Director

Administrative Modification #9: An administrative modification was performed on March 12, 2025 at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the utility and construction phase costs for PI 0016567 – CR 171/Lewis Frasier Road @ Peacock Creek. This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 40.

Jeff Ricketson, AICP, LCPC Executive Director

3/12/2025

Administrative Modification #10: An administrative modification was performed on March 27, 2025 at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the CRP-funded project (formerly PI 0017728 – OFF-SYSTEM SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS @ 16 LOCS IN LIBERTY COUNTY. This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 49.

Jeff Ricketson, AICP, LCPC Executive Director

Date

4/24/2025

5/16/2025

Administrative Modification 11: An administrative modification was performed on April 11, 2025 at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to add a PI number to the CRP-funded project (formerly PI 0017728 – OFF-SYSTEM SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS @ 16 LOCS IN LIBERTY COUNTY). This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 50.

Jeff Ricketson, AICP, LCPC Executive Director

Date

Administrative Modification #12: An administrative modification was performed on May 15, 2025 at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the year of funding for the preliminary engineering and construction phases of PI 0020353 – EV CHARGING STATION @ 1 LOC IN LIBERTY/MCINTOSH COUNTY. This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 48.

Jeff Ricketson, AICP, LCPC Executive Director

Date

July 24, 2025 Page 14 of 72

6/18/2025

Administrative Modification #13: An administrative modification was performed on June 17, 2025, at the request of the GDOT Office of Planning to update the total construction phase cost to reflect the 12% portion of the project that is within the HAMPO Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) boundary for PI 0017411 ITS Project along I-95 from Florida State Line to South Carolina State line. This can be viewed on the project sheet on page 39.

Jeff Ricketson, AICP, LCPC Executive Director

Date


July 24, 2025 Page 15 of 72

### Introduction

In 2003, the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) was established pursuant to federal law to address transportation planning within Liberty County and the urbanized portions Long County, including Fort Stewart and the municipalities of Hinesville, Allenhurst, Flemington, Gum Branch, Midway, Riceboro and Walthourville. In 2005, the Memorandum of Understanding with the Georgia Department of Transportation, affirmed by Governor Perdue, designated the Liberty Consolidated Planning Commission (LCPC) as the recipient and management entity for all planning funds and activities associated with HAMPO.

The 2020 census population for the Hinesville Urban Area (UZA) is 53,107. Total population served in the HAMPO Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) is roughly 70,000 including urbanized Long County. In 2013, the Urbanized Area Boundary (UAB) was approved and in 2015, the MPA was updated to reflect the 2010 UZA and the 2013 UAB. The updated MPA was approved by the Governor on December 9, 2015. The Federal Transit Administration released updated UZA information in January 2023. Updated HAMPO MPA and UAB were under development at the time of adoption of the TIP.

The following map shows the HAMPO planning boundary in relation to the local municipalities and county boundaries within the HAMPO region.



### TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Through the comprehensive, cooperative, and continuing (3C) planning process HAMPO develops and administers the twenty-five-year long range Metropolitan Transportation Plan

July 24, 2025 Page 16 of 72

(MTP). The MTP identifies the vision, goals and objectives, and strategies that will promote the movement of people and goods throughout the MPO planning region. The HAMPO 2045 MTP identifies eight goals that are consistent with and feeds directly from the federal planning factors and national and state goals for transportation planning identified in the FAST Act, and later in the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law. Projects identified in the MTP that support these goals are later introduced into the Transportation Improvement Program.

This Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a four-year plan detailing programmed federally funded and regionally significant transportation projects for fiscal years 2024 to 2027.

The TIP identifies transportation improvements recommended for advancement during the program period, groups the projects into appropriate staging periods, and includes realistic estimates of total costs and anticipated funding sources. Projects addressing the transportation needs of Metropolitan Planning Area are identified in the MTP are moved, or programmed, into the TIP based on funding availability.

It should be emphasized that the TIP is an expression of intent to implement the identified projects and not a final commitment of funds from any agency. All transportation projects must appear in an approved MTP and TIP before they may receive federal funds for implementation. The TIP is based on a reasonable estimate of the amount of federal funds expected to be available to Hinesville Metropolitan Planning Area the next four fiscal years. The TIP is required to be financially constrained by year over the four-year period of FY 2024 to FY 2027.

The planning process for both the MTP and TIP is performance management based. This is utilized to increase the accountability and transparency of the Federal-aid highway programs. This provides a framework that supports improved investment decisions by focusing on performance outcomes to achieve the national transportation goals.

### **BIPARTISAN INFRASTRUCTURE LAW (BIL)**

The HAMPO FY 2024-2027 TIP was developed in accordance with the FAST Act (December 4, 2015) and the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), which was signed into law on November 15, 2021. The BIL is a five-year bill (2022-2026). The BIL authorized \$567.5 billion nationally in spending over a five-year period, including \$383.4 billion from the Highway Trust Fund and \$184.2 billion in guaranteed appropriations. \$93.5 billion is also included from the General Fund, which is subject to appropriations. The BIL also continues to emphasize performance-based planning and programming that was introduced in MAP-21 and subsequently the FAST Act.

There are multiple discretionary funding programs contained in the BIL. Some of these discretionary programs include:

Office of the Secretary of Transportation

- Megaprojects
- RAISE Grants
- Safe Streets
- Culverts
- SMART Program

July 24, 2025 Page 17 of 72

### Federal Highway Administration

- Bridge Investment Program
- INFRA Grants
- Reduction of Truck Emissions in Ports
- Reconnecting Communities

### **Federal Transit Administration**

- Low-No Emission Bus Grants
- Capital Investment Grants
- ADA Upgrades to Rail Transit
- Electric or Low Emission Ferries

### **APPROVAL PROCESS**

Project-by-project review and approval by the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT), the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) is necessary for federal funds to become available and thereby documented in the TIP. Both the Technical Coordinating Committee and Citizens Advisory Committee are responsible for reviewing the TIP and recommending it for approval to the Policy Committee. The public participation process for transportation planning, including the MTP and TIP, is detailed in "Participation Plan" as adopted by the Policy Committee on June 8, 2017. The 2045 MTP and the Participation Plan are available on the MPO website under the HAMPO Plans & Documents webpage at https://thelcpc.org/hampo\_plans\_and\_documents/.

By endorsement by the Policy Committee, this document becomes the official TIP for the HAMPO Metropolitan Planning Area and is subsequently adopted by the State into the Statewide TIP. It should be understood that the TIP is a flexible program which may be modified in accordance with the procedures outlined in the adopted HAMPO Participation Plan by resolution of the Policy Committee if priorities, area goals or funding levels change.

### **STANDING COMMITTEES**

The Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, Public Law No. 114-94 as signed by President Barack Obama on December 4, 2015, and brought forward by the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA, also commonly known as the Bipartisan Infrastructure Bill), as signed by President Joe Biden on November 15, 2021, envisions a transportation system that maximizes mobility and accessibility while protecting the built and natural environments.

# Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC) Technical Coordinating Committee (TCC) Policy Committee (PC) Participation Plan (PP) Long-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

This is achieved through a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process. The HAMPO Committee structure ensures that the transportation planning activities occur in an inclusive and coordinated planning environment.

July 24, 2025 Page 18 of 72

HAMPO is operated under the leadership of a Policy Committee comprised of decision makers from each participating jurisdiction, the Georgia Department of Transportation, and other state and federal agencies. HAMPO's Technical Coordinating Committee and Citizens Advisory Committee provide valuable input to the Policy Committee on transportation issues.

The three committees meet on a regular schedule six times a year. Agendas are distributed seven days in advance and a call to the public is always included and welcomed.

The **Policy Committee** (PC) is made up of the chief elected and appointed officials from all of the municipalities within the HAMPO region of Liberty County and urbanized portion of Long County, as well as executives from the local, state and federal agencies concerned with transportation planning. It serves as the forum for cooperative transportation decision-making and establishes transportation related policies in support of the area's overall goals and objectives. The PC reviews and approves all HAMPO programs and studies. The PC is entrusted with ensuring that the HAMPO transportation projects are current and prioritizes transportation projects recommended in the planning process.

The **Technical Coordinating Committee** (TCC) is comprised of key government and agency transportation staff members who are involved in technical aspects of transportation planning. The TCC provides technical guidance, reviews and evaluates transportation studies and provides recommendations to the Policy Committee. The chief elected official of each municipality appoints the TCC representative for their respective jurisdictions.

The **Citizens Advisory Committee** (CAC) is representative of a cross-section of the community and functions as a public information and involvement committee. It reviews HAMPO programs and studies and provides recommendations to the Policy Committee. The CAC is entrusted with informing the PC of the community's perspective while providing information to the community about transportation policies and issues. CAC members are appointed by the Policy Committee from recommendations from their respective municipality, county, or organization. Members are typically active citizens in their jurisdictions with an interest in both community and transportation issues.

The following tables document the HAMPO PC, TCC, and CAC membership.

PC VOTING MEMBERS	Representing				
Karl Riles	Mayor, City of Hinesville				
Sarah Hayes	Mayor, City of Walthourville				
Tina Eason	Mayor, Town of Gum Branch				
Robert Parker	Chairman, Long County BOC				
Donald Lovette, Chair	Chairman, Liberty County BOC				
Gary Gilliard	Commissioner, Liberty County BOC				
Lynn Pace	Proxy for Chairman, LCPC				
Clemontine Washington, Vice-Chair	Proxy for Mayor, City of Midway				
Verdell Jones	Chair, Liberty County BOE				
Melissa Ray	Proxy for Chairman, LCDA				
Paul Hawkins	Mayor, Flemington				
James Willis	Mayor, Town of Allenhurst				

July 24, 2025 Page 19 of 72

PC VOTING MEMBERS	Representing
Megan Weiss	GDOT Representative
Vicky Nelson	Councilmember, City of Hinesville
Louise Brown	Mayor, City of Riceboro

EX-OFFICIO NON-VOTING MEMBERS:						
Jeff Ricketson Executive Director, LCPC						
Joey Brown	Liberty County Administrator					
Kenneth Howard	Hinesville City Manager					
Phil Odom	Chairman, Citizens Advisory Committee					
Wykoda Wang	CORE MPO					
Kyle Wemett	Fort Stewart					
Sabrina David	Division Administrator, FHWA					
Merishia Coleman GDOT Freight Representative						

PARTICIPATING	
Katie Proctor	GDOT District 5
Joseph Longo	FHWA
Ann-Marie Day	FHWA
Trent Long	
Paul Simonton	
Marcus Sack	
Robert Buckley	

TCC Voting Members	Representing
Joey Brown, TCC Chair	County Administrator, Liberty County
Kenneth Howard, TCC Vice-Chair	City Manager, City of Hinesville
Kyle Wemett	Fort Stewart
Katie Proctor	GDOT District 5
Dr. Clemontine Washington	Mayor Pro-Tem, City of Midway
Dr. Franklin D. Perry	Superintendent, Liberty County BOE
Robert Parker	Long County BOC Chairman
Jeff Ricketson	Executive Director, LCPC
Pearlie Axson	City of Riceboro
James Willis	Town of Allenhurst
Mayor Tina Eason	City of Gum Branch
Mayor Sarah Hayes	City of Walthourville
Jomar Pastorelle	GDOT Planning
Paul Hawkins / David Edwards	City of Flemington
Paul Simonton	City of Engineer, City of Hinesville
Ben Morrow	ESG (Hinesville PW)
Brynn Grant	Executive Director, LCDA

July 24, 2025 Page 20 of 72

TCC Voting Members	Representing
Phillip Peevy	GDOT Central Office - Transit
Trent Long	County Engineer, Liberty County
	quorum = 50% (10)
TCC Non-Voting Members	Representing
Dionne Lovett	Executive Director, CRC
Joseph Longo	Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
Vanessa Richards	General Manger, Liberty Transit
	Transportation Director, Coastal
Barry James	Regional Commission
	Operation Manager, Liberty County
John Lyles	Board of Education

Representing						
Gum Branch						
Hinesville						
Hinesville						
Walthourville						
Hinesville						
Flemington						
Hinesville						
Liberty County						
Riceboro						
Liberty County						
Long County						
GSU						
Midway						
Liberty County						
Fort Stewart						
Allenhurst						
Savannah Technical College						
Walthourville						

### Staff:

Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) Staff:

- Jeff Ricketson, HAMPO Executive Director
- Kelly Wiggins, Executive Assistant
- Rachel Hatcher, Senior Planner (Contract Employee)
- Vishanya Forbes, Planner (Contract Employee)
- Justin Dammons, Planner (Contract Employee)
- Brian Powers, Planner (Contract Employee)
- Jamie Zerillo, Planner (Contract Employee)
- Beverly Davis, Senior Planning Group Leader (Contract Employee)
- Steve Cote, Senior Planning Leader (Contract Employee)

July 24, 2025 Page 21 of 72

Other LCPC staff to support HAMPO: Curles Butler, Lori Parks, Todd Kennedy, and Mardee Sanchez Consultants: If Consultants are required, specified services will be obtained per the RFP or RFQ process.

### **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

The HAMPO public participation process is detailed in the HAMPO Participation Plan. This process includes the methods through which stakeholders and public input and comment are solicited for. During the development of the 2024 – 2027 TIP the draft project listings and funding were discussed at a meeting of staff from GDOT, HAMPO, and FHWA. For the 2024-2027 TIP, a 30-day public comment period was held from June 15 to July 15, 2023. This public comment period was advertised in the Coastal Courier and a public notice was posted on the MPO website. Committee members were encouraged to reach out to their constituents, fellow citizens, and interested parties to participate in providing feedback regarding the draft TIP. A hard copy of the draft TIP was available at the Liberty Consolidated Planning Commission's office. The Citizens Advisory Committee meeting is held bimonthly on the second Thursday at 5:30pm, which allows for individuals to participate in the transportation planning process outside of the traditional workday. There is a public comment standing agenda item during the CAC meeting, as well as during the Technical Coordinating Committee and the Policy Committee. As part of the MTP development process, robust public engagement is conducted, including popups, public meeting, and extensive stakeholder coordination. The feedback gathered during the MTP development process is used to organize the project prioritization list that the TIP builds off.


### **OVERVIEW**

There are numerous elements that help identify projects in the TIP for HAMPO's Metropolitan Planning Area. The Lump Sum projects program gives GDOT and MPO flexibility to address projects with an immediate need while fulfilling the requirements of the State TIP. The individual highway and bridge projects are grouped by funding source. Transit projects are grouped separately.

July 24, 2025 Page 22 of 72

### PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT

In compliance with the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, (IIJA or Bipartisan Infrastructure Bill), state Departments of Transportation and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) must use a Transportation Performance Management (TPM) approach in carrying out their federally-required transportation planning and programming activities. The process requires the establishment and use of a coordinated performance-based approach to transportation decision-making to support national goals for the federal-aid highway and public transportation programs. This became effective April 14, 2016, when the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)



**FHWA Performance Based Planning Process** 

established highway safety performance measures to carry out the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP).

HAMPO has adopted the following Performance Measures (PM):

- PM1 Highway Safety
- PM2 Bridge and Pavement Condition
- PM3 Travel Time Reliability, Freight Reliability, Traffic Congestion, Total Emissions Reduction
- PM It Transit Asset Management (TAM) and Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP)

Liberty Transit completed their Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) in July 2020. This is a federally mandated document that requires operators of public transportation systems that receive federal funds to develop safety plans.

The appendix includes the adoption resolution(s) and detail of these performance measures essential to programming of efficient and effective highway and transit projects.

### **Individual Highway Projects**

Individual highway projects have a description and are indexed with the HAMPO project numbering scheme is as follows:

- 101-199 are new construction projects,
- 201-299 are widening projects,
- 301-399 are safety/enhancement projects including access management improvements,
- 401-499 are bridge replacement projects, and

July 24, 2025 Page 23 of 72

• 501-599 are paving projects.

The State Project Identification (PI) Number is assigned to a project by the GDOT Office of Programming and is used by GDOT for tracking projects from preliminary engineering to as-built documentation. Planning studies and planning assistance contracts also receive a PI number. Preliminary engineering (PE) includes field surveys, project concepts and designs. Right-of-way (ROW) involves land and property acquisition. The Construction (CST) phase is the final project phase. When a project goes to construction, it is typically removed from TIP as it is no longer a planning project.

### TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM LUMP SUM PROJECTS

A portion of the STIP funding is set aside for projects that do not affect the capacity of the roadway. The Lump Sum projects program gives GDOT and MPO flexibility to address projects with an immediate need while fulfilling the requirements of the State TIP. These are directly administered by GDOT. Funds are set up in lump sum banks to undertake projects that are developed after the STIP is approved. These lump sums banks, located in the statewide or "All" county section of the STIP, are listed in a number of funding types for each year for the Department's convenience in managing and accounting for the funding. These Lump Sum Banks are shown in the TIP/STIP with the words "Lump Sum" in the project description and contain an amount of funding for each year. Funds are drawn from these lump sums during the year and individual projects are programmed. The individual projects may include work at one or several locations for letting and accounting purposes. Listed below are these eleven groups and information about them. Except for groups for preliminary engineering and rights of way protective buying, the total available funds are shown as construction for easy accounting but preliminary engineering and rights-of-way may be drawn from this amount when needed in that category.

Individual projects are programmed and funds drawn from the Lump Sum Bank at the time these funds are needed for Preliminary Engineering, Rights of Way and Construction. These projects may be funded in the current year or one of the other TIP/STIP years. Funds for these projects are not counted until authorization is requested for the funds. At that time the actual cost is deducted from the balance in the Lump Sum Bank.

To provide the readers of the TIP/STIP with as much information as possible, individual projects to be funded from the Lump Sum Bank in the future may be shown in the TIP/STIP with a program year of 2020 and a preliminary estimated cost. These projects are also denoted with the words "Uses Lump Sum Bank PI # 000xxxx" in the lower left area of the project listing. To avoid double counting, these projects are not included in the county total at the end of the county.

Group: Maintenance

Criteria: existing system maintenance only

This group has six funding/work types: two are for bridge painting/maintenance and the other four are for roadway maintenance. Major types of work undertaken are: resurfacing, pavement rehabilitation, median work, impact attenuators, signing, fencing, pavement markings,

July 24, 2025 Page 24 of 72

landscaping, rest areas, walls, guardrail and shoulder work. Also included is preliminary engineering necessary to prepare plans and rights-of-way needed for work such as landslide repair, sewer hookups and erosion control.

Group: Safety

Criteria: work qualifying for the High Hazard Safety Program and other safety projects

This group includes the following work types: signal installation/upgrades, guardrail installation, sign installation, railroad protection devices, operational improvements, railroad crossing hazard elimination, roadway hazard elimination and special safety studies and programs.

Group: Preliminary Engineering

Criteria: planning, studies, and management systems

This group has two funding/work types: planning/management systems and consultant design services.

Group: Roadway/Interchange Lighting

Criteria: lighting

This group is a single item.

Group: Rights of Way - Protective Buying and Hardship Acquisitions

Criteria: purchase of parcel(s) of rights of way (RW) for future projects that are in jeopardy of development and for hardship acquisition. Qualifying projects are those that have preliminary engineering (PE) underway or have a PE, RW or construction phase in the STIP. For counties that are not in conformance for air quality the only qualifying projects are those that have a RW phase in the STIP. This group is a single item.

Group: Transportation Enhancement

Criteria: projects qualifying for the Transportation Enhancement program (TE) and the Recreational Trails & Scenic Byway programs

TE projects shown in the STIP will be funded on a first come first served basis. When a project is funded it is drawn down from the lump sum. When all funds are gone, no other projects can be funded until the next fiscal year, which begins on July 1.

This group has two funding types.

Group: Safe Routes to Schools

Criteria: To enable and encourage children, including those with disabilities, to walk and bicycle to school; to make walking and bicycling to school safe and more appealing; and to facilitate the planning, development and implementation of projects that will improve safety, and reduce traffic, fuel consumption, and air pollution in the vicinity of schools.

This group has three items; Infrastructure & non-infrastructure & any project.

Group: High Risk Rural Roads

Criteria: States are required to identify these roadways (and expend the HRRR funds) according to the following definition:

July 24, 2025 Page 25 of 72

- A. Any roadway functionally classified as a rural major or minor collector or a rural local road and: on which the accident rate for fatalities and incapacitating injuries exceeds the statewide average for those functional classes of roadway; or
- B. That will likely have increases in traffic volume that are likely to create an accident rate for fatalities and incapacitating injuries that exceeds the statewide average for those functional classes of roadway.

### Group: Regional Traffic Signal Optimization

Criteria: Applies to maintenance and operation of traffic control devices statewide. Candidate projects include:

- A. Regional Traffic Operations Concepts
- B. Micro-Regional Traffic Operations
- C. Traffic Control Maintenance Contracts
- D. Signal Timing
- E. Identification of minor operational improvement projects to be submitted for Operational Projects under another Lump Sum category.

### Projects will:

- A. Have to support the Regional or Statewide Traffic Signal Concept of Operations.
- B. Focus on operating and maintaining the components of traffic control systems.
- C. Local or quasi-governmental agencies may be contracted with at the project level on which the accident rate for fatalities and incapacitating injuries exceeds the statewide average for those functional classes of roadway; or that will likely have increases in traffic volume that are likely to create an accident rate for fatalities and incapacitating

### Group: Low Impact Bridges

Criteria: Candidates for this process will require minimal permits, minor utility impacts, minimal FEMA coordination, no on-site detour, and meet other low-impact characteristics as identified in this document. Projects that ultimately qualify for this expedited process also must not exceed established environmental impact thresholds and thus qualify as a Categorical Exclusion (CE) determinations in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The Program has been created with three major principles in mind – safety, stewardship and streamlining.

- A. The safety of the travelling public is of paramount importance. It is the intent of this program to reduce risk associated with structurally deficient, scour critical, temporarily shored, or fracture critical structures.
- B. Second only to safety, the program will foster stewardship of Georgia's environmental and financial resources. Projects developed under the Program will seek to minimize the impact to the natural environment while providing long-term cost effective engineering solutions.
- C. The Program will result in accelerated, streamlined delivery of all phases of the bridge replacement including, planning, design, environmental approval and construction.

July 24, 2025 Page 26 of 72

### **TIP FORMULA FUNDS**

Multiple federal and state programs provide funding to statewide planning regions (including large urban, small urban, and rural). This formula funding is distributed to metropolitan and rural regions based on defined needs-based formulas and criteria. Funding sources can be either federal or state, highway or public transit programs. Funding can also be obtained from local sources, including Transportation Special Local Option Sales Tax (TSPLOST). Funding can come from a number of programs on the state and federal level, including the following:

- National Highway Performance Program
- Surface Transportation Block Group Program
- Surface Transportation Block Group Program Set-Aside
- Carbon Reduction Program
- Highway Safety Improvement Program
- Promoting Resilient Operations for Transformative, Effective Cost-saving Transportation (PROTECT)

HAMPO manages the TIP development process for the Hinesville metropolitan planning area, including performance-based project evaluation and selection decisions for these TIP Formula Funds. These project evaluations take place during the MTP development process and are contained in a fiscally constrained list over a 20-year horizon. When projects are brought into the TIP, they remain fiscally constrained against a budget determined by the Department of Transportation through GDOT.

### **MPO LUMP SUM PROJECTS**

### MPO Lump Sum Projects - Hinesville

Liberty								_			
			PE			ROW		CST		UTL	
PROJ	PROJ NO.	TIP NO.	DESCRIPTION								
0015393			CS 926/MAIN STREET/RYON AVE FROM SR 38 TO CENTRAL AVE	PE	AUTHORIZED						
0017697			SR 38/US 84 FROM FLOWERS DRIVE TO PATRIOTS TRAIL - VRU	PE	AUTHORIZED	ROW	PRECST	CST	PRECST	UTL	PRECST
0019573			SR 25 & SR 196 @ SEV LOCS IN BRYAN & LIBERTY COUNTY	PE	AUTHORIZED			CST	PRECST	UTL	PRECST
0019822			CAY CREEK RD @ RSOR #635050J & DOGWOOD ST @ RSOR #635053E					CST	PRECST		
M006312			I-95 SB & NB @ BULL TOWN SWAMP & @ CAY CRK TRIB-BRIDGE REHAB								

### **LUMP SUM PROJECTS CURRENTLY AUTHORIZED**

0015393: Overlay General Stewart Way from East Oglethorpe Highway to General Screven Way

July 24, 2025 Page 27 of 72

0017697: Constructs median on SR 38/US 84 from Flowers Drive to Patriots Trail 0019573: Constructs cable barrier installation along SR 196 and SR 25/US 17 in Liberty and Brian counties

### **TIP AUTHORIZED PROJECTS**

The following list includes Federal or State funded projects. This list is not comprehensive and excludes projects from other funding sources.

Primary County:	Liberty								
PROJ	PROJ NO.	TIP NO.	DESCRIPTION	Phase Status	Phase Code	Program Year	Latest Cost Estimated Total	% in MPO	Amount
0011730			SR 38/US 84 @ CR 73/OLD SUNBURY ROAD	AUTHORIZED	CST	2021	\$5,203,385.26	100	\$5,203,385.26
0013750		N402	SR 119 @ TAYLORS CREEK 3 MI NW OF HINESVILLE	AUTHORIZED	CST	2022	\$5,932,142.97	100	\$5,932,142.97
0016567			CR 171/LEWIS FRASIER ROAD @ PEACOCK CREEK	AUTHORIZED	PE	2021	\$675,000.00	100	\$675,000.00
0017160			PL HINESVILLE - FY 2021	AUTHORIZED	PLN	2021	\$122,041.01	100	\$122,041.01
0017697			SR 38/US 84 FROM FLOWERS DRIVE TO PATRIOTS TRAIL - VRU	AUTHORIZED	PE	2021	\$1,568,000.00	100	\$1,568,000.00
0017728			OFF-SYSTEM SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS @ 16 LOCS IN LIBERTY COUNTY	AUTHORIZED	CST	2022	\$796,224.70	100	\$796,224.70
					PE	2021	\$9,802.22	100	\$9,802.22
0018100			SR 119 CORRIDOR STUDY - FY 2022 HINESVILLE UPWP	AUTHORIZED	PLN	2022	\$200,000.00	100	\$200,000.00
0018422			PL HINESVILLE - FY 2023	AUTHORIZED	PLN	2023	\$138,421.38	100	\$138,421.38
0019306			PL HINESVILLE - SAFE & ACCESSIBLE TRANS OPTIONS - FY 2023	AUTHORIZED	PLN	2023	\$2,601.91	100	\$2,601.91
0019573			SR 25 & SR 196 @ SEV LOCS IN BRYAN & LIBERTY COUNTY	AUTHORIZED	PE	2023	\$7,000.00	67	\$4,690.00
522570-	NH000-0026-03(056)	115	SR 38 BYPASS FROM SR 38/US 84 TO SR 119	AUTHORIZED	ROW	2021	\$5,010,000.00	100	\$5,010,000.00
M006212			SR 119 FROM N OF 15TH STREET/AIRPORT ROAD TO S OF SR 144	AUTHORIZED	MCST	2022	\$5,386,297.90	100	\$5,386,297.90
S015462			ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS ON EDGEWATER DRIVE	AUTHORIZED	PR	2021	\$198,000.00	100	\$198,000.00

July 24, 2025 Page 28 of 72

### TIP EXPECTED HIGHWAY STIP FUNDS

# HINESVILLE TOTAL EXPECTED HIGHWAY & TRANSIT STIP FUNDS (MATCHED) FY 2024 - FY 2027

FUND	CODE	LUMP DESCRIPTION	Т	2024	2025	2026	2027	TOTAL
NHPP	Y001		\$	24,665,102	\$ -	\$ 1,785,000	\$ -	\$ 26,450,102
STBG	Y236		\$	41,616	\$ 2,232,782	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,274,398
NHFP	Y460		\$	-	\$ -	\$ 2,475,000	\$ -	\$ 2,475,000
Carbon	Y606		\$	178,419	\$ 296,198	\$ 296,198	\$ 296,198	\$ 1,067,014
HPP	LY30		\$	194,470	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 194,470
Local	LOC		\$	1,653,547	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,653,547
Transit	5303		\$	71,186	\$ 71,186	\$ 71,186	\$ 71,186	\$ 284,744
Transit	5307		\$	1,499,946	\$ 1,499,946	\$ 1,499,946	\$ 1,499,946	\$ 5,999,784
Transit	5311		\$	1,229,599	\$ 1,229,599	\$ 1,229,599	\$ 1,229,599	\$ 4,918,396
NHPP	Y001	LIGHTING	\$	5,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000	\$ 20,000
NHPP/STBG	Various	BRIDGE MAINTENANCE	\$	239,000	\$ 239,000	\$ 239,000	\$ 239,000	\$ 956,000
NHPP/STBG	Various	ROAD MAINTENANCE	\$	1,487,000	\$ 1,328,000	\$ 1,328,000	\$ 1,328,000	\$ 5,471,000
STBG	Y240	LOW IMPACT BRIDGES	\$	112,000	\$ 112,000	\$ 112,000	\$ 112,000	\$ 448,000
STBG	Y240	OPERATIONS	\$	64,000	\$ 64,000	\$ 64,000	\$ 64,000	\$ 256,000
STBG	Y240	TRAF CONTROL DEVICES	\$	159,000	\$ 159,000	\$ 159,000	\$ 159,000	\$ 636,000
STBG	Y240	RW PROTECTIVE BUY	\$	8,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 32,000
HSIP	YS30	SAFETY	\$	531,000	\$ 531,000	\$ 531,000	\$ 531,000	\$ 2,124,000
RRX	YS40	RAILROAD CROSSINGS	\$	61,000	\$ 61,000	\$ 61,000	\$ 61,000	\$ 244,000
TOTAL			\$	32,199,885	\$ 7,836,711	\$ 9,863,929	\$ 5,603,929	\$ 55,504,455

Note: This information is subject to change.

### HINESVILLE TOTAL EXPECTED HIGHWAY STIP FUNDS (MATCHED) FY 2025

FUND	CODE	LUMP DESCRIPTION	2025	TOTAL
NHPP	Y001		\$ 1,957,987	\$ 1,957,987
STBG	Y236		\$ 2,285,616	\$ 2,285,616
Carbon	Y606		\$ 173,264	\$ 173,264
NEVI	Y134		\$ 678,400	\$ 678,400
Eamark	LY30		\$ 194,470	\$ 194,470
Earmark	Y603		\$ 3,390,000	\$ 3,390,000
State	SGF-C		\$ 27,683,290	\$ 27,683,290
NHPP	Y001	LIGHTING	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000
NHPP/STBG	Various	BRIDGE MAINTENANCE	\$ 223,000	\$ 223,000
NHPP/STBG	Various	ROAD MAINTENANCE	\$ 1,239,000	\$ 1,239,000
STBG	Y240	LOW IMPACT BRIDGES	\$ 104,000	\$ 104,000
STBG	Y240	OPERATIONS	\$ 82,000	\$ 82,000
STBG	Y240	TRAF CONTROL DEVICES	\$ 149,000	\$ 149,000
STBG	Y240	RW PROTECTIVE BUY	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000
HSIP	YS30	SAFETY	\$ 496,000	\$ 496,000
RRX	YS40	RAILROAD CROSSINGS	\$ 57,000	\$ 57,000
TOTAL			\$ 34,481,424	\$ 34,481,424

Note: This information is subject to change

July 24, 2025 Page 29 of 72

### HINESVILLE TOTAL EXPECTED HIGHWAY STIP FUNDS (MATCHED) FY 2026

FUND	CODE	LUMP DESCRIPTION	2026		TOTAL
NHPP	Y001		\$ 3,415,660	\$	3,415,660
NHFP	Y460		\$ 2,475,000	\$	2,475,000
Carbon	Y606		\$ 176,729	\$	176,729
NHPP	Y001	LIGHTING	\$ 5,000	\$	5,000
NHPP/STBG	Various	BRIDGE MAINTENANCE	\$ 223,000	\$	223,000
NHPP/STBG	Various	ROAD MAINTENANCE	\$ 1,239,000	<del>(\$</del>	1,239,000
STBG	Y240	LOW IMPACT BRIDGES	\$ 104,000	\$	104,000
STBG	Y240	OPERATIONS	\$ 82,000	\$	82,000
STBG	Y240	TRAF CONTROL DEVICES	\$ 149,000	\$	149,000
STBG	Y240	RW PROTECTIVE BUY	\$ 7,000	\$	7,000
HSIP	YS30	SAFETY	\$ 496,000	\$	496,000
RRX	YS40	RAILROAD CROSSINGS	\$ 43,000	\$	43,000
TOTAL			\$ 8,415,389	\$	8,415,389

Note: This information is subject to change

### TIP STATE AND FEDERALLY APPROVED FUNDS: PROJECT COST DETAILS

### Hinesville Project Cost Detail FY 2024 thru FY 2027

PI#	MPO TIP ID	Description	Prim Work Type	Year	Phase	Fund Code	Federal	State	Other	Total
0016567		CR 171/LEWIS FRASIER ROAD @ PEACOCK CREEK	Bridges	2024	ROW	LOC	\$0	\$0	\$75,000	\$75,000
0016567		CR 171/LEWIS FRASIER ROAD @ PEACOCK CREEK	Bridges	2024	ROW	Y236	\$33,293	\$8,323	\$0	\$41,616
522570-	115	SR 38 BYPASS FROM SR 38/US 84 TO SR 119	Roadway Project	2024	CST	LY30	\$155,576	\$38,894	\$0	\$194,470
522570-	115	SR 38 BYPASS FROM SR 38/US 84 TO SR 119	Roadway Project	2024	CST	Y001	\$19,732,081	\$4,933,020	\$0	\$24,665,102
522570-	115	SR 38 BYPASS FROM SR 38/US 84 TO SR 119	Roadway Project	2024	UTL	LOC	\$0	\$0	\$1,578,547	\$1,578,547
T007056		FY 2024-HINESVILLE-SEC.5307-C APITAL AND OPERATIONS	Urban Transit - Capital/Ops	2024	TOPR	5307	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
T007068		FY 2024-HINESVILLE MPO-SEC.5303-PLANNING	MPO/Region Transit	2024	TPLN	5303	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	\$71,186
T007303		FY 2024-COASTAL RC-SEC 5311-CAPITAL AND OPERATIONS	Rural Transit - Capital/Ops	2024	TCAP	5311	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
					FY 202	24 Totals:	\$21,592,695	\$5,070,697	\$2,692,073	\$29,355,466
0016567		CR 171/LEWIS FRASIER ROAD @ PEACOCK CREEK	Bridges	2025	CST	Y236	\$1,697,933	\$424,483	\$0	\$2,122,416
0016567		CR 171/LEWIS FRASIER ROAD @ PEACOCK CREEK	Bridges	2025	UTL	Y236	\$88,293	\$22,073	\$0	\$110,366

Page 1 of 3

July 24, 2025 Page 30 of 72

			Balan Marata						TIAIVII OTT	2024-2027
PI#	MPO TIP ID	Description	Prim Work Type	Year	Phase	Fund Code	Federal	State	Other	Total
T008226		FY 2025-COASTAL RC-SEC.5311-CAPITAL AND OPERATIONS	Rural Transit - Capital/Ops	2025	TCAP	5311	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
T008404		FY 2025-HINESVILLE-SEC.5307-C APITAL AND OPERATIONS	Urban Transit - Capital/Ops	2025	TOPR	5307	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
T008505		FY 2025-HINESVILLE MPO-SEC.5303-PLANNING	MPO/Region Transit	2025	TPLN	5303	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	\$71,186
					FY 20	25 Totals:	\$3,457,970	\$537,016	\$1,038,526	\$5,033,513
0017411		I-95 FM FLORIDA STATE LINE TO S CAROLINA STATE LINE-ITS EXP	ITS	2026	CST	Y001	\$1,428,000	\$357,000	\$0	\$1,785,000
0017411		I-95 FM FLORIDA STATE LINE TO S CAROLINA STATE LINE-ITS EXP	ITS	2026	CST	Y460	\$1,980,000	\$495,000	\$0	\$2,475,000
T008230		FY 2026-COASTAL RC-SEC.5311-CAPITAL AND OPERATIONS	Rural Transit - Capital/Ops	2026	TCAP	5311	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
T008405		FY 2026-HINESVILLE-SEC.5307-C APITAL AND OPERATIONS	Urban Transit - Capital/Ops	2026	TOPR	5307	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
T008506		FY 2026-HINESVILLE MPO-SEC.5303-PLANNING	MPO/Region Transit	2026	TPLN	5303	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	\$71,186
					FY 20	26 Totals:	\$5,079,745	\$942,460	\$1,038,526	\$7,060,731
T008245		FY 2027-COASTAL RC-SEC.5311-CAPITAL AND OPERATIONS	Rural Transit - Capital/Ops	2027	TCAP	5311	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
T008407		FY 2027-HINESVILLE-SEC.5307-C APITAL AND OPERATIONS	Urban Transit - Capital/Ops	2027	TOPR	5307	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
PI#	MPO TIP ID	Description	Prim Work Type	Year	Phase	Fund Code	Federal	State	Other	Total
T008507	2 111 12	FY 2027-HINESVILLE MPO-SEC.5303-PLANNING	MPO/Region Transit	2027	TPLN	5303	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	\$71,186
					FY 20:	27 Totals:	\$1,671,745	\$90,460	\$1,038,526	\$2,800,731
						lle Totals:	\$31,802,155	\$6,640,633	\$5,807,651	\$44,250,440

July 24, 2025 Page 31 of 72

### Hinesville Project Cost Detail FY 2025 thru FY 2025

PI#	MPO TIP ID	Description	Prim Work Type	Year	Phase	Fund Code	Federal	State	Other	Total
0016567		CR 171/LEWIS FRASIER ROAD @ PEACOCK CREEK	Bridges	2025	CST	Y236	\$1,697,933	\$424,483	\$0	\$2,122,416
0016567		CR 171/LEWIS FRASIER ROAD @ PEACOCK CREEK	Bridges	2025	UTL	Y236	\$130,560	\$32,640	\$0	\$163,200
0020353		EV CHARGING STATION @ 1 LOC IN LIBERTY/MCINTOSH COUNTY	NEVI - Charging Station	2025	PE	Y134	\$12,720	\$3,180	\$0	\$15,900
0020353		EV CHARGING STATION @ 1 LOC IN LIBERTY/MCINTOSH COUNTY	NEVI - Charging Station	2025	CST	Y134	\$530,000	\$0	\$132,500	\$662,500
522570-	115	SR 38 BYPASS FROM SR 38/US 84 TO SR 119	Roadway Project	2025	CST	LY30	\$155,576	\$38,894	\$0	\$194,470
522570-	115	SR 38 BYPASS FROM SR 38/US 84 TO SR 119	Roadway Project	2025	CST	SGF-C	\$0	\$27,683,290	\$0	\$27,683,290
522570-	115	SR 38 BYPASS FROM SR 38/US 84 TO SR 119	Roadway Project	2025	CST	Y001	\$1,566,389	\$391,597	\$0	\$1,957,987
522570-	115	SR 38 BYPASS FROM SR 38/US 84 TO SR 119	Roadway Project	2025	CST	Y603	\$2,712,000	\$590,592	\$87,408	\$3,390,000
					FY 202	5 Totals:	\$6,805,178	\$29,164,676	\$219,908	\$36,189,763
					Hinesvill	le Totals:	\$6,805,178	\$29,164,676	\$219,908	\$36,189,763

### Hinesville Project Cost Detail FY 2026 thru FY 2026

PI#	MPO TIP ID	Description	Prim Work Type	Year	Phase	Fund Code	Federal	State	Other	Total
0017411		I-95 FM FLORIDA STATE LINE TO S CAROLINA STATE LINE- ITS EXP	ITS	2026	CST	Y001	\$2,732,528	\$683,132	\$0	\$3,415,660
0017411		I-95 FM FLORIDA STATE LINE TO S CAROLINA STATE LINE- ITS EXP	ITS	2026	CST	Y460	\$1,980,000	\$495,000	\$0	\$2,475,000
					FY 202	6 Totals:	\$4,712,528	\$1,178,132	\$0	\$5,890,660
					Hinesvill	e Totals:	\$4,712,528	\$1,178,132	\$0	\$5,890,660

July 24, 2025 Page 32 of 72

### 2/27/2023

### Hinesville Project Cost Summary FY 2024 thru FY 2027

PI#	Year	Fund Code	Federal	State	Other	Total
522570-	2024	Y001	\$19,732,081	\$4,933,020	\$0	\$24,665,102
		Y001 Totals:	\$19,732,081	\$4,933,020	\$0	\$24,665,102
0016567	2024	Y236	\$33,293	\$8,323	\$0	\$41,616
		Y236 Totals:	\$33,293	\$8,323	\$0	\$41,616
522570-	2024	LY30	\$155,576	\$38,894	\$0	\$194,470
		LY30 Totals:	<i>\$155,576</i>	\$38,894	\$0	\$194,470
0016567	2024	LOC	\$0	\$0	\$75,000	\$75,000
522570-	2024	LOC	\$0	\$0	\$1,578,547	\$1,578,547
		LOC Totals:	\$O	\$0	\$1,653,547	\$1,653,547
T007068	2024	5303	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	\$71,186
		5303 Totals:	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	<i>\$71,186</i>
T007056	2024	5307	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
		5307 Totals:	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
T007303	2024	5311	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
		5311 Totals:	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
	FY	∕ 2024 Totals:	\$21,592,695	\$5,070,697	\$2,692,073	\$29,355,466
0016567	2025	Y236	\$1,786,225	\$446,556	\$0	\$2,232,782
		Y236 Totals:	\$1,786,225	\$446,556	\$O	\$2,232,782
T008505	2025	5303	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	\$71,186
		5303 Totals:	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	<i>\$71,186</i>
T008404	2025	5307	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
		5307 Totals:	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
T008226	2025	5311	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
		5311 Totals:	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
	FY	/ 2025 Totals:	\$3,457,970	\$537,016	\$1,038,526	\$5,033,513
0017411	2026	Y001	\$1,428,000	\$357,000	\$0	\$1,785,000
		Y001 Totals:	\$1,428,000	\$357,000	<b>\$0</b>	\$1,785,000
0017411	2026	Y460	\$1,980,000	\$495,000	\$0	\$2,475,000
		Y460 Totals:	\$1,980,000	\$495,000	\$ <i>0</i>	\$2,475,000
T008506	2026	5303	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	\$71,186

Page 1 of 2

July 24, 2025 Page 33 of 72

Hinesville: FY 2024 - FY 2027


PI#	Year	Fund Code	Federal	State	Other	Total
		5303 Totals:	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	\$71,186
T008405	2026	5307	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
		5307 Totals:	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
T008230	2026	5311	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
		5311 Totals:	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
	FY	2026 Totals:	\$5,079,745	\$942,460	\$1,038,526	\$7,060,731
T008507	2027	5303	\$56,949	\$7,119	\$7,119	\$71,186
		5303 Totals:	<i>\$56,949</i>	\$7,119	\$7,119	<i>\$71,186</i>
T008407	2027	5307	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
		5307 Totals:	\$961,062	\$70,363	\$468,521	\$1,499,946
T008245	2027	5311	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
		5311 Totals:	\$653,734	\$12,978	\$562,886	\$1,229,599
	FY	2027 Totals:	\$1,671,745	\$90,460	\$1,038,526	\$2,800,731
	Hine	sville Totals:	\$31,802,155	\$6,640,633	\$5,807,651	\$44,250,440

## Hinesville Project Cost Summary FY 2026 thru FY 2026

Year	Fund Code	Federal	State	Other	Total
2026	Y001	\$2,732,528	\$683,132	\$0	\$3,415,660
	Y001 Totals:	\$2,732,528	\$683,132	\$0	\$3,415,660
2026	Y460	\$1,980,000	\$495,000	\$0	\$2,475,000
	Y460 Totals:	\$1,980,000	\$495,000	\$0	\$2,475,000
F	Y 2026 Totals:	\$4,712,528	\$1,178,132	\$0	\$5,890,660
Hine	esville Totals:	\$4,712,528	\$1,178,132	\$0	\$5,890,660
	2026 2026	2026 Y001 <b>Y001 Totals:</b> 2026 Y460	2026 Y001 \$2,732,528  Y001 Totals: \$2,732,528  2026 Y460 \$1,980,000  Y460 Totals: \$1,980,000  FY 2026 Totals: \$4,712,528	2026 Y001 \$2,732,528 \$683,132  Y001 Totals: \$2,732,528 \$683,132  2026 Y460 \$1,980,000 \$495,000  Y460 Totals: \$1,980,000 \$495,000  FY 2026 Totals: \$4,712,528 \$1,178,132	2026       Y001       \$2,732,528       \$683,132       \$0         Y001 Totals:       \$2,732,528       \$683,132       \$0         2026       Y460       \$1,980,000       \$495,000       \$0         Y460 Totals:       \$1,980,000       \$495,000       \$0         FY 2026 Totals:       \$4,712,528       \$1,178,132       \$0

July 24, 2025 Page 34 of 72


### TIP PROJECT LOCATION MAP AND PROJECT SHEETS



July 24, 2025 Page 35 of 72

PROJECT NAME INE-ITS EXP	: I-95 FM FLORID	OA STATE LINE T	TO S CAROLINA STAT	ГЕ	PI #: 0017411	Fund: Y001/	/Y460	
					HAMPO #0017411	-		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION O		FLORIDA STAT	E LINE TO S CAROLI	NA	GDOT District: 5			
STATE LINE ITS					Cong. District: 1			
Improvemen T	ype: ITS		SR/US Road #: I-9	5	County: Liberty			
From: Florida S	tate Line		To: S Carolina Stat	e Line	RC: n/a			
Existing No. Lanes: n/a	Planned No. Lanes n/a	Length (miles): 13.34				Base Volumer-	Projected Volume: -	
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG BANGE FOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL	
Preliminary Engineering								
Right-of-Way							\$0	
Utility Relocate							\$0	
Construction			\$4,260,000				\$4,260,000	
PROJECT COST			\$4,260,000		\$4,260,000		\$4,260,000	
Federal Cost			\$3,408,000		\$3,408,000		\$3,408,000	
State Cost			\$832,000		\$852,000		\$852,000	
Local Cost								


Comments/Remarks: This project is not a full HAMPO project. Only a segment of this project is located within the HAMPO region.



July 24, 2025 Page 36 of 72

PROJECT NAME LINE-ITS EXP	: I-95 FM FLORID	A STATE LINE	TO S CAROLINA STAT	ГЕ	PI #: 0017411	Fund: Y001,	/Y460
					HAMPO #0017411		
PROJECT DESCR STATE LINE-ITS		FLORIDA STAT	TE LINE TO S CAROLI	NA	GDOT District: 5		
STATE LINE-113	LAI				Cong. District: 1		
Improvement T	ype: ITS		SR/US Road #: I-95 To: S Carolina State Line		County: Liberty		
From: Florida	tate Line				RC: n/a		
Existing No. Lanes: n/a	Nanned No. Lanes: n/a	Length (miles): 13.34				Base Volume: -	Projected Volume: -
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL
Preliminary Engineering							
Right-of-Way							
Utility Relocate							
Construction			\$35,500,000				\$35,500,000
PROJECT COST			\$35,500,000		\$35,500,000		\$35,500,000
Federal Cost (Y001)			\$11,900,000		\$11,900,000		\$11,900,000
State Cost (Y001)			\$2,975,000		\$2,975,000		\$2,975,000
Federal Cost (Y460)			\$16,500,000		\$16,500,000		\$16,500,000
State Cost (Y460)			\$4,125,000		\$4,125,000		\$4,125,000
Local Cost							


Comments/Remarks: This project is not a full HAMPO project, only a segment of this project is located within the HAMPO region. HAMPO is only responsible for 12% of the funds for this project - 12% of listed total project cost is HAMPO's responsibility.



July 24, 2025 Page 37 of 72

PROJECT NAME LINE-ITS EXP	: I-95 FM FLORID	OA STATE LINE	ΓΟ S CAROLINA STAT	ΓE	PI #: 0017411	Fund: Y001,	/Y460		
					HAMPO #0017411				
PROJECT DESCR STATE LINE-ITS		FLORIDA STAT	TE LINE TO S CAROLI	NA	GDOT District: 5				
STATE LINE-113	LAF				Cong. District: 1				
Improvement T	ype: ITS		SR/US Road #: I-9	5	County: Liberty				
From: Florida	tate Line		To: S Carolina State Line		RC: n/a				
Existing No. Lanes: n/a	Nanned No. Lanes: n/a	Length (miles): 13.34				Base Volume: -	Projected Volume: -		
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL		
Preliminary Engineering									
Right-of-Way									
Utility Relocate									
Construction			\$49,088,830				\$49,088,830		
PROJECT COST			\$49,088,830		\$49,088,830		\$49,088,830		
Federal Cost (Y001)			\$22,771,064		\$22,771,064		\$22,771,064		
State Cost (Y001)			\$5,692,765.88		\$5,692,765.88		\$5,692,765.88		
Federal Cost (Y460)			\$16,500,000		\$16,500,000		\$16,500,000		
State Cost (Y460)			\$4,125,000		\$4,125,000		\$4,125,000		
Local Cost									


Comments/Remarks: This project is not a full HAMPO project. Only a segment of this project is located within the HAMPO region. HAMPO is only responsible for 12% of the funds for this project 12% of listed total project cost is HAMPO's responsibility.



July 24, 2025 Page 38 of 72

PROJECT NAME: LINE-ITS EXP	I-95 FM FLORID	A STATE LINE	TO S CAROLINA STAT	ΓE	PI #: 0017411	Fund: Y001	/Y460	
					HAMPO #0017411			
PROJECT DESCR STATE LINE-ITS		FLORIDA STAT	TE LINE TO S CAROLI	NA	GDOT District: 5			
STATE LINE-113	EAF				Cong. District: 1			
Improvement Ty	ype: ITS		SR/US Road #: I-9	5	County: Liberty			
From: Florida St	ate Line		To: S Carolina Stat	e Line	RC: n/a			
Existing No. Lanes: n/a	Planned No. Lanes: n/a	Length (miles): 13.34				Base Volume: -	Projected Volume: -	
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL	
Preliminary Engineering								
Right-of-Way								
Utility Relocate								
Construction			\$54,846,855.31				\$54,846,855.31	
PROJECT COST			\$54,846,855.31		\$54,546,855.31		<b>\$54,846,855.3</b> 1	
Federal Cost (Y001)			\$25,397,484.25		\$25,397,484.25		\$25,397,484.25	
State Cost (Y001)			\$6,349,370.94		\$6,349,370.94		\$6,349,370.94	
Federal Cost (Y460)			\$18,480,000		\$18,480,000		\$18,480,000	
State Cost (Y460)			\$4,620,000		\$4,620,000		\$4,620,000	
Local Cost								


Comments/Remarks: This project is not a full HAMPO project Only a segment of this project is located within the HAMPO region. HAMPO is only responsible for 12% of the funds for this project 12% of listed total project cost is HAMPO's responsibility.



July 24, 2025 Page 39 of 72


PROJECT NAME LINE-ITS EXP	: I-95 FM FLORID	A STATE LINE	TO S CAROLINA STAT	ГЕ	PI #: 0017411 Fund: Y001/Y460				
PROJECT PROCE	ALDERON LOS EM	EL ODID A CELA			HAMPO #0017411				
STATE LINE-ITS		FLURIDA STAT	TE LINE TO S CAROLI	NA	GDOT District: 5				
			T		Cong. District: 1				
Improvement T	Improvement Type: ITS			SR/US Road #: I-95		County: Liberty			
From: Florida State Line To: S Carolina State Line			e Line	RC: Coastal					
Existing No. Lanes: n/a	Planned No. Lanes: n/a	Length (miles): 13.34				Base Volume: -	Projected Volume: -		
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL		
Preliminary Engineering									
Right-of-Way									
Utility Relocate									
Construction			\$5,890,659.53				\$5,890,659.53		
PROJECT COST			\$5,890,659.53		\$5,890,659.53		\$5,890,659.53		
Federal Cost (Y001)			\$2,732,527.62		\$2,732,527.62		\$2,732,527.62		
State Cost (Y001)			\$683,131.91		\$683,131.91		\$683,131.91		
Federal Cost (Y460)			\$1,980,000.00		\$1,980,000.00		\$1,980,000.00		
State Cost (Y460)			\$495,000.00		\$495,000.00		\$495,000.00		
Local Cost									

Comments/Remarks: This project is not a full HAMPO project. Only a segment of this project is located within the HAMPO region. HAMPO is only responsible for 12% of the total construction funds for this project (\$49,088,829.41). The total project cost for Y460 funds is \$20,625,000 and \$28,463,829.41 for Y001 funds.




July 24, 2025 Page 40 of 72

PROJECT NAM Replacement)	,	Frasier Rd @ Pea	ocock Creek (Bri	dge	PI #: 0016567 Fund: Y236/LOC			
DDOIECT DESC	CDIDTION: Bridge	e replacement is o	wor Poscock Cre	ook on CP	HAMPO #: 001656	7		
		84 (E. Oglethorp		ek on ck	GDOT District: 5			
					Cong. District: 1			
Improvement	Improvement Type: Bridge Replacement			CR 171	County: Liberty			
From: n/a			To: n/a		RC: CRC			
Existing No. Lanes: 2	Planned No. Lanes: 2	Length (miles): 0.40				Base Volume: -	Projected Volume: -	
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL	
Preliminary Engineering							Authorized	
Right-of-Way	\$116,616				\$116,616		\$116,616	
Utility Relocate		\$110,366			\$110,360		\$110,366	
Construction		\$2,122,416			\$2,122,416		\$2,122,416	
PROJECT COST	\$116,616	\$2,232,782			\$2,349,398		\$2,349,398	
Federal Cost	\$33,293	\$1,786,226			\$1,819,519		\$1,819,519	
State Cost	\$8,323	\$446,556			\$454,879		\$454,879	
Local Cost	\$75,000				\$75,000		\$75,000	
Comments/Re	emarks:					•		




July 24, 2025 Page 41 of 72

PROJECT NAM Replacement)	,	Frasier Rd @ Pea	ncock Creek (Bri	dge	PI #: 0016567	Fund: Y236	/LOC		
		e replacement is o		eek on CR	HAMPO #: 0016567 GDOT District: 5				
Titl) 2 miles se		or (Er ogretilor)			Cong. District: 1				
Improvement	Type: Bridge Re	placement	SR/US Road #:	CR 171	County: Liberty				
From: n/a			To: n/a		RC: CRC				
Existing No. Lanes: 2	Planned No. Lanes: 2	Length (miles): 0.40				Base Volume: -	Projected Volume: -		
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL		
Preliminary Engineering							Authorized		
Right-of-Way	\$116,616				\$116,616		\$116,616		
Utility Relocate		\$163,200			\$163,200		\$163,200		
Construction		\$2,122,416			\$2,122,416		\$2,122,416		
PROJECT COST	\$116,616	\$2,285,616			\$2,492,232		\$2,402,232		
Federal Cost	\$33,293	\$1,828,493			1,861,786		\$1,861,786		
State Cost	\$8,323	\$457,123			\$465,446		\$465,446		
Local Cost	\$75,000				\$75,000		\$75,000		
Comments/Re	marks:					•			




July 24, 2025 Page 42 of 72

E: CR 171/Lewis	Frasier Rd @ Pea	acock Creek (Bri	dge	PI #: 0016567 Fund: Y236/LOC				
			eek on CR	HAMPO #: 0016567 GDOT District: 5				
	or (Er ogrethorp			Cong. District: 1				
Type: Bridge Rej	placement	SR/US Road #:	CR 171	County: Liberty				
		To: n/a		RC: CRC				
Planned No. Lanes: 2	Length (miles): 0.40				Base Volume: -	Projected Volume: -		
FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL		
						Authorized		
\$116,616				\$116,616		\$116,616		
	\$176,000			\$176,000		\$176,000		
	\$2,471,202			\$2,471,202		\$2,471,202		
\$116,616	\$2,647,202			\$2,763,818		\$2,763,818		
\$33,293	\$2,117,761			\$2,151,054		\$2,151,054		
\$8,323	\$529,440			\$537,763		\$537,763		
\$75,000				\$75,000		\$75,000		
	RIPTION: Bridge nuth of SR 38/US Type: Bridge Re Planned No. Lanes: 2 FY 2024 \$116,616 \$33,293 \$8,323	RIPTION: Bridge replacement is outh of SR 38/US 84 (E. Oglethorpouth of SR 38/US 84 (E. Oglethorpou	RIPTION: Bridge replacement is over Peacock Creath of SR 38/US 84 (E. Oglethorpe Hwy.)  Type: Bridge Replacement  SR/US Road #:  To: n/a  Planned No. Length (miles): 0.40  FY 2024  FY 2025  FY 2026  \$116,616  \$176,000  \$2,471,202  \$33,293 \$2,117,761 \$8,323 \$529,440	Type: Bridge Replacement  To: n/a  Planned No. Length (miles): 0.40  FY 2024  FY 2025  FY 2026  \$116,616  \$176,000  \$2,471,202  \$116,616  \$2,647,202  \$33,293  \$2,117,761  \$8,323  \$529,440	RIPTION: Bridge replacement is over Peacock Creek on CR (DOT District: 5 Cong. District: 1 Type: Bridge Replacement   SR/US Road #: CR 171   County: Liberty	RIPTION: Bridge replacement is over Peacock Creek on CR of the first of SR 38/US 84 (E. Oglethorpe Hwy.)   HAMPO #: 0016567		




July 24, 2025 Page 43 of 72

Fund: LY30/Y0	001/LOC
: 5 1	
1	
y	
Base Volume:	Projected Volume: -
LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL
	\$1,578,547
	\$24,859,571
18	\$26,438,118
76	\$155,576
94	\$38,894
31	\$19,732,081
20	\$4,933,020
17	\$1,578,547
12	994 81 220



July 24, 2025 Page 44 of 72

					•				
	E: US 84 CONN FM 1 (US84 Freight Conn		84 INT TO	0 US 84 S	PI #: 522570	Fund: LY30/Y0	01/LOC		
					HAMPO #: 115				
ROJECT DESC	RIPTION: New 2 lar	ne roadway			GDOT District: 5				
					Cong. District: 1				
Improvement	Improvement Type: New Construction			oad #: 38,	County: Liberty				
From: SR 33/U	IS 84 SW of SR 119		To: SR 1	19 SE of Tibet Rd.	RC: CRC				
Existing No. Lanes: 0	Planned No. Lanes: 2	Length (miles): 2.6				Base Volume:	Projected Volume: -		
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL		
Preliminary Engineering									
Right-of-Way									
Utility Relocate	\$1,950,0.4						\$1,950,074		
Construction	\$29,451,426						\$29,451,426		
PROJECT COST	\$31,401,500				\$31,401,500		\$31,401,500		
Federal Cost (LY30)	\$155,576				\$155,576		\$155,576		
State Cost (LY30)	\$38,894				\$38,894		\$38,894		
Federal Cost (Y001)	\$23,405,564.82				\$23,405,564.82		\$23,405,564.82		
State Cost (Y001)	\$5,851,391.21				\$5,851,391.21		\$5,851,391.21		
Local Cost (LOC)	\$1,950,074				\$1,950,074		\$1,950,074		
Comments/Re	marks:								




July 24, 2025 Page 45 of 72

	E: US 84 CONN FM 1 (US84 Freight Conn		84 INT TO U	US 84 S	PI #: 522570-	Fund: LY30/Y0	01/LOC		
LL WILLIAM I ON	(0304 Freight Collin				HAMPO #: 115				
ROJECT DESC	CRIPTION: New 2 lan	ie roadway			GDOT District: 5				
			T ==		Cong. District: 1				
nprovement	Type: New Construc	ction	SR/US Ros 119/84	ad #: 38,	County: Liberty				
rom: SR 83/U	JS 84 SW of SR 119			9 SE of Tibet Rd.	RC: CRC				
xisting No. Lanes: 0	Planned No. Lanes: 2	Length (miles): 2.6				Base Volume: -	P ojected Volume: -		
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTA		
reliminary ngineering									
ight-of-Way									
tility elocate	\$1,950,074						\$1,950,07		
onstruction	\$33,225,746.55						\$33,225,746.		
ROJECT OST	\$35,175,820.55				\$35,175,820.55		\$35,175,820.5		
ederal Cost L <b>Y30)</b>	\$155,576.00				\$157,576		\$155,57		
tate Cost L <b>Y30)</b>	\$38,894.00				\$38,894		\$38,89		
ederal Cost 7 <b>001)</b>	\$26,425,021.24				\$76,425,021.24		\$26,425,021.2		
ate Cost 7 <b>001)</b>	\$6,606,255.31				\$6,606,255.31		\$6,606,255		
ocal Cost L <b>OC)</b>	\$1,950,074			<b>\</b> /	\$1,950,074		\$1,950,0		
		US HWY 84 State R		WALTHOURVILL The Rie 179	ALLENHURST				
HA Sources: G Liberty C	OT, US Census, unty, GIS Department	W S E	PI#	522570 US 8	4 Freight Conr	nector - New			

July 24, 2025 Page 46 of 72


PROJECT NAME: US 84 CO FLEMINGTON (US84 Freig		196/US 84	4 INT TO U	S 84 S		PI #: 522570-	Fund: Earmark/Y603/ /LOC	SGF/Y001/LY30S
						HAMPO #: 115	7200	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: N	ew 2 lane roadwa	ay				GDOT District: 5		
						Cong. District: 1		
Improvement Type: New	Construction		SR/US Ro	ad #: 38,	119/84	County: Liberty		
From: SR 83/US 84 SW of	SR 119		To: SR 11	9 SE of Ti	bet Rd.	RC: CRC		
Existing No. Lanes: 0	Planned No. Lanes: 2		(miles): 2.6				Base Volume:	Projected Volume: -
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2	2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL
Preliminary Engineering								
Right-of-Way								
Utility Relocate	\$1,911,836.80				\$1,911,836.80		\$1,911,836.80	
Construction		\$30,909,589.23				\$30,909,589.23		\$30,909,589.23
PROJECT COST		\$32,82	1,426.03			\$32,821,426.03		\$32,821,426.03
Federal Cost (Earmark/Y603)		\$2	2,712,000			\$2,712,000		\$2,712,000
State Cost (Earmark/Y603)		\$29	95,632.64			\$295,632.64		\$295,632.64
Local Cost (Earmark/Y603)		\$38	82,367.36			\$382,367.36		\$382,367.36
State Cost (SGF)		\$28,23	36,956.03			\$28,236,956.03		\$28,236,956.03
Federal Cost (LY30S)			\$155,576			\$155,576		\$155,576
State Cost (LY30S)			\$38,894			\$38,894		\$38,894
Federal Cost (Y001)			\$800,000			\$800,000		\$800,000
State Cost (Y001)			\$200,000			\$200,000		\$200,000
Comments/Remarks:								



July 24, 2025 Page 47 of 72

PROJECT NAME: EV CHARGIN	IG STATION @ 1 I	LOC IN LIBERTY/MC	INTOSH C	DUNTY	PI #: 0020353	Fund: Y134/LOC	
D OLDOW DESCRIPTION NEW	* 1		1.0.		HAMPO #:		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: NEV the Seorgia 's National Electi					GDOT District: 5		
the teorgia's National Electi	ne venicie inirasi	Tucture Formula Pr	ogram (N	cvij.	Cong. District: 1		
Improvement Type: NEVI - C	harging Station	SR/US Ro	oad #: -		County: Liberty/N	IcIntosh	
From: -		То: -			RC: CRC		
Existing N., Lanes: 0	Planned No. Lanes: -	Length (miles): -				Base Volume:	Projected Volume: -
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL
Preliminary Engineering							
Right-of-Way							
Utility Relocate							
Construction		\$1,250,000			\$1,250,000		\$1,250,000
PROJECT COST		\$1,250,000			\$1,250,000		\$1,250,000
Federal Cost (Y134)		\$1,000,000			\$1,000,000		\$1,000,000
State Cost							
Other Cost		\$250,000			\$250,000		\$250,000
Comments/Remarks:							

53% of the project funding is allocated for Liberty County, while the remaining 47% is for McIntosh County.




July 24, 2025 Page 48 of 72

PROJECT NAME: EV CHARGIN	NG STATION @ 1 I	LOC IN LIBERTY/MCI	NTOSH C	OUNTY	PI #: 0020353	Fund: Y134/LOC	
PROJECT PECCHIPMON NEW					HAMPO #:		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: NEV the Georgia 's National Electr					GDOT District: 5		
the deol glass National Electi	it venicle init as	ii ucture roi iiiuia ri	ogram (N	EVIJ.	Cong. District: 1		
Improvement Type: NEVI - C	harging Station	SR/US Ro	oad #: -		County: Liberty/N	AcIntosh	
From: -		То: -			RC: CRC		
Existing No. Lanes: 0	Planned No. Lanes: -	Length (miles): -				Base Volume:	Projected Volume: -
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL
Preliminary Engineering		\$15,900			\$15,900		\$15,900
Right-of-Way							
Utility Relocate							
Construction		\$1,250,000			\$1,250,000		\$1,250,000
PROJECT COST		\$1,265,900			\$1,265,900		\$1,265,900
Federal Cost (Y134)		\$1,012,720			\$1,012,720		\$1,012,720
State Cost		\$3,180			\$3,180		\$3,180
Other Cost		\$2,0,000			\$250,000		\$250,000
Commonte /Domanka							

Comments/Remarks:


53% of the project funding is allocated for Liberty County, while the remaining 77% is for McIntosh County.



July 24, 2025 Page 49 of 72


PROJECT NAME: EV CHARGI	NG STATION @ 1 I	LOC IN LIBERTY	/MCINT	COSH CO	UNTY	PI #: 0020353	Fund: Y134/LOC	
PROJECT PROCEDURALON NEW			1.0			HAMPO #:		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: NEW the Georgia 's National Elect						GDOT District: 5		
the ded gla 3 National Elect	are venicle init asc	ir ucture Pormu	ia i i ugi	am (Ni	avij.	Cong. District: 1		
Improvement Type: NEVI - (	Charging Station	SR/U	JS Road	#:-		County: Liberty/M	IcIntosh	
From: -		To:	-			RC: CRC		
Existing No. Danes: 0	Planned No. Lanes: -	Length (miles	s): -				Base Volume: -	Projected Volume: -
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	:	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL
Preliminary Engineering		\$30,0	000			\$30,000		\$30,000
Right-of-Way								
Utility Relocate								
Construction		\$1,250,0	000			\$1,250,000		\$1,250,000
PROJECT COST		\$1,280,0	000			\$1,280,000		\$1,280,000
Federal Cost (Y134)		\$1,024,0	000			\$1,024,000		\$1,024,000
State Cost								
Local Cost		\$6,0	000			\$6,000		\$6,000
Other Cost		\$250,0	000	•		\$250,000		\$250,000
Commonte / Domarke	•					-		

Comments/Remarks: 53% of the project funding is allocated for Liberty County, while the remaining 47% is for McIntosh County.



July 24, 2025 Page 50 of 72

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: NE the Georgia 's National Elect						HAMPO #: GDOT District: 5			
Improvement Type: NEVI –	Charging Station		SR/II	S Road #: -		Cong. District: 1 County: Liberty/McIntosh			
From: -	charging station		To: -			RC: CRC	icintosii		
Existing No. Lanes: 0	Planned No. Lanes: -	Lengt (miles)	h			1101 0110	Base Volume:	Projected Volume: -	
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 202	25	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTAL	
Preliminary Engineering				\$30,000		\$30,000		\$30,00	
Right-of-Way									
Utility Relocate									
Construction				\$1,250,000		\$1,250,000		\$1,250,00	
PROJECT COST				\$1,280,000		\$1,280,000		\$1,280,00	
Federal Cost (Y134)				\$1,024,000		\$1,024,000		\$1,024,00	
State Cost									
Local Cost				\$6,000		\$6,000		\$6,00	
Other Cost				\$250,000		\$250,000		\$250,00	



July 24, 2025 Page 51 of 72

						PI #: 0017728	Fund: Y606	
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The safety upgrades to 16 county						HAMPO #: GDOT District: 5		
miles. Upgrades include sign	ıs, striping, paven	ient marl	kings, and/	or 2-foot	12.00	Cong. District: 1		
shoulder widening. Includes		cture imp						
Improvement Type: Safety A From: -	ccess Control		SR/US Ro To: -	aa #: -		County: Liberty RC: CRC		
Existing No. Lanes: 0	Planned No. Lanes: -	Length	(miles): -			RC: CRC	Base Volume:	Projected Volume: -
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY	FY 2025		FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTA
Preliminary Engineering								
Right-of-Way								
Utility Relocate								
Construction			\$523,205			\$523,205		\$523,20
PROJECT COST								
Federal Cost <b>(Y606)</b>			\$418,564			\$418,564		\$418,56
State Cost								
Other Cost			\$104,641			\$104,641		\$104,64
	HINESVILLE .		11					
196	84			X				

					_	HAMPO FY	2024-2027 TIP		
PROJECT NAME: OFF-SYSTEM COUNTY	SAFETY IMPRO	VEMENTS @ 3 LOCS	IN LIBER	ГΥ	PI #: TBD	Fund: Y606			
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Cons	truct three side	walks along Norman	Street be	tween	HAMPO #:				
Frastr Street and Fraser Driv	e, south side of l	Link Street from SR 3	8/West		GDOT District: 5				
Oglethorpe Highway to South at Grove Apartments. This project is tied to existing locations in Liberty County.		J		•	Cong. District: 1				
Improvement Type: Safety Ac	cess Control	SR/US Ro	ad #: -		County: Liberty				
From: -	To To				RC: CRC				
Existing No. Lanex 0	Planned No. Lanes: -	Length (miles): -				Base Volume:	Projected Volume: -		
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE 7 OTAL	PROJECT TOTA		
Preliminary Engineering									
Right-of-Way									
Utility Relocate									
Construction		\$523,205			\$323,205		\$523,20		
PROJECT COST									
Federal Cost <b>(Y606)</b>		\$418,564			\$418,564		\$418,56		
State Cost									
Other Cost  Comments/Remarks:		\$114,641			\$104,641		\$104,64		
196	HINESVILLE 844	196	**  A  A						
	O OFF-SYSTEM IN LIBERTY CO	A SAFETY IMPROVI	EMENTS	, a Hi	purces: GDOT, US Census, City nesville, Liberty County, GIS epartment	N E S O.5			

July 24, 2025 Page 53 of 72

						HAMPO FY	2024-2027 TIP	
PROJECT NAME: OFF-SYSTE COUNTY	M SAFETY IMPRO	VEMENTS @ 3 LOCS	IN LIBER	ГΥ	PI #: 0021247	Fund: Y606		
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Cor	struct three sides	walks along Norman	Street be	tween	HAMPO #:			
Fraser Street and Fraser Dri	ive, south side of I	ink Street from SR 3	8/West		GDOT District: 5			
Oglethorpe Highway to Sout at Grove Apartments. This project is tied to existin locations in Liberty County.	ng P.I. 0017728, O	•		-	Cong. District: 1			
Improvement Type: Safety A	Access Control	SR/US Ro	ad #: -		County: Liberty			
From: -	_	То: -			RC: CRC			
Existing No. Lanes: 0	Planned No. Lanes: -	Length (miles): -				Base Volume:	Projected Volume: -	
PROJECT PHASE	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	TIP TOTAL	LONG RANGE TOTAL	PROJECT TOTA	
Preliminary Engineering								
Right-of-Way								
Utility Relocate								
Construction		\$523,205			\$523,205		\$523,20	
PROJECT COST								
Federal Cost <b>(Y606)</b>		\$418,564			\$418,564		\$418,56	
State Cost								
Other Cost		\$104,641			\$104,641		\$104,64	
196	HINESVILLE 84	196			намро	LCPC		
	BD OFF-SYSTEM S IN LIBERTY CO	I SAFETY IMPROVI DUNTY	EMENTS	, w Hi	ources: GDOT, US Census, City onesville, Liberty County, GIS epartment	W E S		
					0.23	0.5 Miles		

July 24, 2025 Page 54 of 72

### **TRANSIT**

### **Liberty Transit**

The mission of the Liberty Transit System is to improve the quality of life for residents, visitors, soldiers and families by providing transportation options that are safe, environmentally friendly and cost-effective.

Liberty Transit is a fixed route public transit system that operates within the City of Hinesville, City of Flemington, City of Walthourville, and Fort Stewart Military Installation, home of the 3rd Infantry Division. The service area is approximately 263 square miles with an estimated population of 48,630 persons according to the 2000 Census count. The Liberty Transit System is governed by the Transit Steering Committee, which is comprised of the



Mayor of Hinesville, Mayor of Flemington, Liberty County Board of Commissioners Chairman, Mayor of Walthourville, and an ex-officio Fort Stewart representative. The agency operates a fleet of 9 buses each equipped with ADA complaint wheelchair lifts and tie downs as well as bicycle racks for multimodal passengers. In September 2019, Liberty Transit began paratransit services featuring demand-response ADA service.

Liberty Transit is still moving towards becoming a countywide system. While Liberty Transit will begin as a fixed route transit system, the long-term goal is to become a countywide system and ultimately part of a regional solution to transportation needs. In order to accomplish these long-term goals, the staff at Liberty Consolidated Planning Commission is working across the region with transit partners to develop a plan to make these goals a reality.

The tables below include the programming of Title 49 U.S.C. Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program funds, and Title 49 U.S.C. funds, Title 49 U.S.C. Section 5311(f) funds, as well as local funding sources.

Capital Schedule for Liberty Transit										
Section 5307 Capital and Operations										
FY 2024 FY 2025 FY 2026 FY 202										
Total Project Cost	\$	1,499,946.00	\$	1,499,946.00	\$	1,499,946.00	\$	1,499,946.00		
Federal Cost 80%	\$	961,062.00	\$	961,062.00	\$	961,062.00	\$	961,062.00		
State Cost 10%	\$	40,363.00	\$	40,363.00	\$	40,363.00	\$	40,363.00		
Local Cost 10%	\$	468,521.00	\$	468,521.00	\$	468,521.00	\$	468,521.00		

The Georgia Department of Transportation's Intermodal Department along with the Federal Transit Administration depends on local transit agencies to reevaluate their TDPs every five years as a prerequisite for the receipt of federal and state funding. The TDP update process provides transit agencies with the opportunity to define public transportation needs, solicit input from stakeholders and the public, identify capital and operational deficiencies, and define courses of action to advance the mission and goals of the transit agency.


\*\* Associated Transit Improvements: The City of Hinesville identified needed improvements relating to pedestrian access to the fixed route transit system, especially in the older

July 24, 2025 Page 55 of 72

disadvantaged portions of the City. The transit improvement project will identify pedestrian gaps for access transit, develop a strategy, prepare construction drawings, obtain clearances from GDOT, and oversee construction. This is a multi year effort to accrue and construct.

July 24, 2025 Page 56 of 72

### **Liberty Transit Route Map**



July 24, 2025 Page 57 of 72

### **Coastal Regional Coaches**

Coastal Regional Coaches is part of the regional rural public transit program that provides general public transit service in the Georgia counties of Bryan, Bulloch, Camden, Chatham, Effingham, Glynn, Liberty, Long, McIntosh, and Screven. This service is available to anyone, for any purpose, and to any destination in the coastal region. Fares are very affordable and vary with different itineraries.

Coastal Regional Coaches is a demand-response, advance reservation service that operates Monday through Friday from 7:00 A.M. until 5:00 P.M. To Make a Reservation Toll Free: (866) 543-6744.

	5311 Capital and Operations									
		FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027					
<b>Total Project Cost</b>		\$1,229,599.00	\$1,229,599.00	\$1,229,599.00	\$1,229,599.00					
F	ederal Cost	\$653,734.00	\$653,734.00	\$653,734.00	\$653,734.00					
	State Cost	\$12,978.00	\$12,978.00	\$12,978.00	\$12,978.00					
	Local Cost	\$562,886.00	\$562,886.00	\$562,886.00	\$562,886.00					
Total Cost		\$1,229,599.00	\$1,229,599.00	\$1,229,599.00	\$1,229,599.00					

	5304 Planning									
	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027						
Total Project Cost	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00						
Federal Cost	\$2,800.00	\$2,800.00	\$2,800.00	\$2,800.00						
State Cost	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00						
Local Cost	\$700.00	\$700.00	\$700.00	\$700.00						
Total Cost	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00						

### FEDERAL AND STATE FUNDED AVIATION PROJECTS

MidCoast Regional at Wright Army Airfield is situated within Fort Stewart in Hinesville, in southeast Georgia. Hinesville is in Liberty County at the intersection of U.S. Highway 84 and Highway 119, 45 miles south of Savannah. Together, the Hinesville-Fort Stewart metropolitan area had a 2009 population estimate of approximately 74,000. Fort Stewart is the largest military installation east of the Mississippi River, comprising over 285,000 acres, and is the primary home of the U.S. Army's Third Infantry Division, employing approximately 25,000 military and civilian personnel.

MidCoast Regional is a \$10.3 million joint-use airport that opened in November 2007, governed by a Joint Management Board with members from the City of Hinesville, Liberty County Board of Commissioners, Liberty County Development Authority, and the U.S. Army.

July 24, 2025 Page 58 of 72

### **Project Funds for Aviation Projects**

#### MIDCOAST REGIONAL AIRPORT (LHW) HINESVILLE, LIBERTY COUNTY, GEORGIA

#### DRAFT 2024-2028 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

10/24/2022

			10/24/2022							
	National Priority Ranking (NPR)	AIP Eligibility - AIP Handbook	Pavement Projects (PCI)	TOTAL COST	Federal Funds	BIL Funds	Entitlement Funds (NPE)	MAP Funds	State Funds	Local Funds
Available Funding FY 21 NPE \$150,000 + FY 21 ARPA \$16,666 = \$166,666 FY 22 & 23 NPE = \$300,000 FY 23 BIL = \$159,000										
TOTAL = \$625,666										
2024										
USACE Cost Associated with Exclusive Use Lease Area Expansion     Permitting Associated with Exclusive Use Lease Area Expansion     TOTAL -2024		Pg 3-54,T 3-44,g Pg 3-54,T 3-44,g	N/A N/A	\$50,000 \$75,000 <b>\$125,000</b>	<u>\$0</u> <u>\$0</u> <b>\$0</b>	<u>\$0</u> <u>\$0</u> <b>\$0</b>	\$45,000 \$67,500 <b>\$112,500</b>	\$0	\$2,500 \$3,750 <b>\$6,250</b>	\$2,50 \$3,75 <b>\$6,25</b>
2025										
Design - Exclusive Use Area Expansion (Phases VII, Access Road, T-Hanga 2. Wetlands Mitigation Credits 3. Update DBE Goals TOTAL - 2025	r)	Pg D-1,T D-1,a Pg T-2,T T-2,a Pg S-6, T S-1,j Pg 3-54, T 3-44,b	N/A N/A N/A	\$700,000 <u>\$450,000</u> <u>\$7,000</u> <b>\$1,157,000</b>	\$0 \$90,000 \$0 <b>\$90,000</b>	\$0 \$27,134 <u>\$0</u> <b>\$27,134</b>	\$330,000 \$287,866 <u>\$6,300</u> <b>\$624,166</b>	\$300,000 <b>\$300,000</b>	\$35,000 \$22,500 <u>\$350</u> <b>\$57,850</b>	\$35,000 \$22,500 <u>\$350</u> <b>\$57,850</b>
2026										
1. Construct - Exclusive Use Area Expansion (Access Rd)/MAP		Pg P-2,T P-3,a Pg I-3, T-I-4,a	N/A	\$5,700,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,130,000	\$285,000	\$285,00
2. Construct - Exclusive Use Area Expansion (Phase I & T-Hangars)		Pg O-6, T O-3 f	N/A	\$2,000,000	\$1,011,134	\$608,866	\$180,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,00
3. Environmental Assessment - Redetermination		Pg S-1, T S-1,a	N/A	\$40,000	\$36,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,000	\$2,00
4. Design - Runway 6-24 Rehab (AIP Eligible)		Pg D-1,T D-1,a	85	\$300,000	\$270,000	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$15,000</u>	<u>\$15.00</u>
TOTAL -2026				\$8,040,000	\$1,317,134	\$608,866	\$180,000	\$5,130,000	\$402,000	\$402,00
2027										
Construct - RW 6/24 Rehab Construction - Includes Bidding, CA,										
Construct - RW 6724 Rehab Construction - Includes Bidding, CA, Construction Inspection (/AIP Eligible)		Pg G-8, T G-5,e	85	\$3,000,000	\$2,550,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,00
TOTAL -2027				\$3,000,000	\$2,550,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$150,00
2028										
1.Construct-Exclusive Use Area Expansion - Phase II (AIP Eligible)		Pg I-3, T-I-4,a	N/A	\$ 2,000,000	\$1,650,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,00
TOTAL -2028				\$2,000,000	\$1,650,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000
TOTAL CIP 2024-2028				\$14,322,000	\$5,607,134	\$636,000	\$1,216,666	\$5,430,000	\$716,100	\$716,100

July 24, 2025 Page 59 of 72

### **AMENDMENT PROCESS**

## Georgia Statewide and Metropolitan Administrative Modification and Amendment Process

The federal statewide and metropolitan planning regulations contained in 23 CFR 450 govern the provisions for revisions of the STIP and individual MPO TIPs. The intent of this federal regulation is to acknowledge the relative significance, importance, and/or complexity of individual programming actions. If necessary, 23 CFR 450.328 permits the use of alternative procedures by the cooperating parties to effectively manage actions encountered during a given S/TIP cycle. Cooperating parties include GDOT, MPOs, FHWA, FTA, and transit agencies and the procedures must be agreed upon and documented in the STIP/TIP.

The following procedures have been developed for processing administrative modifications and amendments to the STIP and MPO TIPs and Metropolitan Transportation Plans (MTPs). The processes described below detail procedures that are to be used to update an existing approved STIP, TIPs, and associated plans, when applicable, and adhere to the guidelines stated above and below for administrative modifications and amendments.

#### **Administrative Modifications for Federal Authorizations**

Administrative modification means a minor revision to a long-range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, Statewide Improvement Program (STIP), or Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) that includes minor changes to project/project phase costs, minor changes to funding sources of previously included projects, and minor changes to project/project phase initiation dates. An Administrative Modification is a revision that does not require public review and comment, re-demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination (in nonattainment and maintenance areas).

The following actions satisfy the Georgia Division and FTA Region 4 requirements for an Administrative Modification to the STIP/TIP/MTP:

- A. **Air Quality** A STIP/TIP/MTP administrative modification can be processed on a project when it does not impact an air quality conformity determination.
- B. **Cost Increase Threshold** A STIP/TIP/MTP administrative modification can be processed on a project phase with a cost increase of up to \$4 million or 40% in federal share with a cap at \$40 million of the amounts to be authorized. When:
  - the Federal Share of the project phase amount in the STIP/TIP/MTP is \$10 million or less, the cost may be increased by an amount up to \$4 million and fiscal constraint is not impacted, as shown in Note (d) or

July 24, 2025 Page 60 of 72

- 2. the Federal Share of the project phase amount in the STIP/TIP/MTP is greater than \$10 million the cost may be increased by a maximum of 40% up to a cost increase of \$40 million and fiscal constraint is not impacted, as shown in Note (d).
- C. Non-Federal Funded Phases Adding a project phase that utilizes 100 percent nonfederal funding that does not impact fiscal constraint, federal share match, and air quality.
- D. **Shifting Project Phase** A STIP/TIP/MTP administrative modification can be processed when shifting projects/project phase within the existing 4-year STIP/TIP.
- E. **Public Review and Comment** A STIP/TIP/MTP administrative modification can be processed on a project phase if it does not require public review and comment.
- F. **August Redistribution** A STIP/TIP/MTP administrative modification can be processed if an existing project is utilizing August Redistribution funding and is exempt from air quality conformity determination. If a project is non-exempt, it must follow all federal requirements for a S/TIP Amendment.

#### **Amendments for Federal Authorizations**

Amendment means a revision to a long-range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, STIP, or TIP that involves a major change to a project included in a metropolitan transportation plan, STIP, or TIP, including the addition or deletion of a project or a major change in project cost, project/project phase initiation dates, or a major change in design concept or design scope (e.g., changing project termini or the number of through traffic lanes or changing the number of stations in the case of fixed guideway transit projects). Changes to projects that are included only for illustrative purposes do not require an amendment. An amendment is a revision that requires public review and comment and a re-demonstration of fiscal constraint. If an amendment involves "non-exempt" projects in nonattainment and maintenance areas, a conformity determination is required.

### The following actions require an Amendment to the STIP/TIP/MTP when:

- A. The addition of a new project or the addition of a phase with federal funds to an existing project. This includes any new projects that are funded with Congressionally Directed Spending, Federal Land Access Program (FLAP) funds, or Title 23 or Title 49 discretionary funding.
- B. Project changes that impact air quality conformity determination.
- C. Shifting a project or a project phase that falls outside the existing 4-year STIP/TIP range (i.e., existing STIP/TIP year is 2024 2027 but bringing in a project phase from a previous Fiscal Year (FY) or beyond the last year of the STIP period)

July 24, 2025 Page 61 of 72

D. A major change in total project termini length greater than 1 mile, if applicable. Changes in project termini lengths for project concepts or scopes such as bridge replacements, intersection improvements, passing lanes, resurfacing, etc. are not considered a major change.

### The following actions also require a redemonstration of fiscal constraint:

- A. Financial changes that exceed the above administrative modifications threshold.
- B. Annual rebalancing of the existing STIP to demonstrate fiscal constraint for the upcoming FY.
- C. Shifting project funding sources such as changing a non-federally funded project to a federally funded project.

Amendments require public review and responses to all comments, either individually or in summary form. For amendments in MPO areas, the public review process should be carried out by the procedures outlined in the Participation Plan. Georgia DOT will ensure that the amendment process and the public involvement procedures have been followed. Except for Congressionally Directed Spending, FLAP, and Discretionary Grants, ALL other amendments to the STIP must be approved jointly by FHWA and FTA.

### **Notes:**

- a. The date a TIP becomes effective is when the Governor or his designee approves it. For nonattainment and maintenance areas, the effective date of the TIP is based on the date of the U.S. Department of Transportation's positive finding of conformity.
- b. The date the STIP or the STIP amendment becomes effective is when FHWA and FTA jointly approve it.
- c. The STIP is developed on the state fiscal year, which is July 1 June 30.
- d. Funds for amendments and administrative modifications will come from the funds set aside in the STIP Financial Plan.
- e. Before the start of each State Fiscal Year, GDOT shall submit an Annual STIP. Amendment that updates the STIP Financial Plan to show funding set aside for amendments and administrative modifications for the upcoming fiscal year. In the event the STIP amendment update is delayed, GDOT will submit the amendment no later than October 1st.
- f. GDOT will submit to FHWA/FTA a detailed monthly Modification report, showing financial transactions and balances.
- g. GDOT will submit to FHWA/FTA accompanying Administrative Modification Authorizations Tables for review before requesting FMIS authorizations in advance of the monthly Modification report.
- h. Projects that are funded with Congressionally directed spending, FLAP funds, or Title 23 or Title 49 discretionary funding can be incorporated into the STIP/TIP/MTP via an informational appendix after the amendment process is complete.
- i. A public comment period is required for all projects funded under a Title 23 and Title 49 discretionary grant program. Public review for discretionary grant projects can be advertised as informational only and comments received will be forwarded to the project sponsor.


July 24, 2025 Page 62 of 72

### PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT MATERIALS

### FHWA Comments

Document	Title:	НАМРО FY24-	27 T	IP	Project Number:	Hinesville	
Document	Date:	May Draft			Comment Date:	6-5-23	
Comment #	PDF Page	Section	•	Comment		Response	New Page
1		General Comment		To improve the TIP as an informative resource for the public, please add language about the relationship between the TIP and the goals and objectives of the MTP.	goals and objectives related to them, and federal legislation.	an introduction section explaining the s of the MTP and how the TIP is I to the national planning factors from	7
2		General Comment		How does this draft TIP demonstrate consistency with the current MTP to MPO partners, the public, and stakeholders? Adding language and/or supporting documentation would improve transparency and further demonstrate compliance.	MPO has included a have been updated to current MTP docum	Multiple sections in document	
3		General Comment		Please add language around the TIP financial plan. How does this TIP demonstrate fiscal constraint? How are estimates determined? How are operations and maintenance costs captured? Discuss funding sources.		a section explaining TIP Formula funds are fiscally constrained through the MTP.	16
4		General Comment		Add a narrative about public involvement and outreach related to the TIP. Public notices are only a part of the process. How does the MPO engage with traditionally underserved communities to ensure their participation?	involvement and ou	a section referring to the public streach related to the TIP.	12
5	4	Resolution		Please revise the resolution to include language stating the FY24-27 TIP is consistent with the 2045 MTP.		e resolution to include language 24-27 TIP as being consistent with the	4
6	6	Introduction		Consider referencing the federal legislation and requirements governing the TIP.	goals and objectives	an introduction section explaining the s of the MTP and how the TIP is to the national planning factors from	7
7	7	Transportation Improvement Program		Add regionally significant to "detailing programmed federally funded and regionally significant transportation projects for fiscal years 2024 to 2027."	MPO has updated la significant."	anguage to include "regionally	7
8	7	Approval Process		When referencing the MTP and Participation Plan, it is a best practice to state where these products can be found and/or provide a hyperlink.		location on MPO website where the ion Plan can be found.	8
9	23	PI 0016567		PI 0016567 – what does "Long Range (beyond 2024)" mean?	MPO has updated la	anguage to just say "Long Range."	24
10	24	PI 522570		PI 522570 – Since there are multiple Federal funding sources for this project, please illustrate the breakdown by fund code in the Project Cost section of the table.	MPO has updated p fund code for PI 52	roject cost sheet with breakdown by 2570-	25
11	38- 48	System Performance Report		Please coordinate with GDOT to update the System Performance Report. Ensure there are references to the current MTP and TIP with updated financials.	forms and system p		
12		Self- Certification		To GDOT: Please coordinate with MPO staff for an executed self-certification. The signed resolution should be included within the TIP.	MPO is currently as	waiting GDOT's directive.	

July 24, 2025 Page 63 of 72



### NOTICE: PUBLIC COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

The Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (HAMPO) is currently conducting a call for public comment for two federally mandated documents:

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a four-year plan detailing programmed federally funded highway and transit projects for fiscal years 2024 to 2027. The Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) is a five-year plan that outlines how a metropolitan area will manage and operate a multimodal transportation system with a 20-year planning horizon.

A 30-day public comment period will be conducted from June 12 - July 12, 2023 for the draft 2024 - 2027 TIP and the amended 2045 MTP. Access to draft documents for review will be available online, and in person at the Liberty Consolidated Planning Commission Office, located at 100 Main St, Hinesville, GA 31313. Digital copies of the documents are available at https://thelcpc.org/hampo\_plans\_and\_documents/

Please contact Jeff Ricketson at 912-408-2030 or jricketson@thelcpc.org with questions or

comments.

Page 64 of 72 July 24, 2025



### Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

## Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) – 30 Day Public Comment Period June 12, 2023 – July 12, 2023

Name:		
Email:		
Telephone:		
COMMENTS:		

July 24, 2025 Page 65 of 72

### **SELF-CERTIFICATION**

# CERTIFICATION OF THE HINESVILLE AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Be it known to all, the below signees do hereby endorse and certify the Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization, and further certify that the Metropolitan Planning Process is being conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements of:

### I. 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5305, and this subpart

- a) Agreements are in place to address responsibilities of each MPO for its share of the overall Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA), where multiple Metropolitan Planning Organizations share geographic portions of a Transportation Management Area (TMA).
- b) All major modes of transportation are members of the MPO
- c) Any changes to the MPA boundaries were reflected in the Policy Board representation.
- d) Agreements or memorandums are signed and in place for identification of planning responsibilities among the MPO, GDOT, public transit operator(s), air quality agency(ies), or other agencies involved in the planning process.
- e) Roles and responsibilities are defined for the development of the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) / Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) and other related planning documents.
- f) All MPO required planning products per 23 CFR Part 450, meeting minutes and agenda items are current and available on the MPO's website.
- g) The metropolitan transportation planning process shall provide for the establishment and use of a performance-based approach to transportation decision-making to support the national goals described in 23 U.S.C. 150(b) and the general purposes described in 49 U.S.C. 5301(c).

### UPWP (23 CFR Part 450.308)

- a) The UPWP documents in detail the activities to be performed with Title 23 and the Federal Transit Act.
- b) The UPWP activities are developed, selected and prioritized with input from the State, MPO committees and public transit agency(ies).
- c) The final UPWP is submitted in a timely manner to GDOT with authorization occurring before the MPO's fiscal year begins.
- d) Initial Adoption and Amendments to the UPWP are developed and processed in accordance with procedures outlined in the MPO's Participation Plan.
- e) Planning activities and status reports are submitted quarterly by the MPO to GDOT and FHWA

### LRTP/MTP (23 CFR Part 450.324)

- a) The LRTP/MTP incorporates a minimum 20-year planning horizon.
- b) The LRTP/MTP identifies both long-range and short-range strategies and actions leading to the development of an intermodal transportation system.
- c) The LRTP/MTP is fiscally constrained.
- d) The development of the LRTP/MTP and the TIP are coordinated with other providers of transportation (e.g. regional airports, maritime port operators).

July 24, 2025 Page 66 of 72

- e) All of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act planning factors were considered in the planning process.
- f) The LRTP/MTP includes a discussion of types of potential environmental mitigation activities and potential areas to carry out these activities in consultation with federal, state and tribal land management and regulatory agencies.
- g) The MPO approves the LRTP/MTP in a timely manner without entering into a planning lapse.
- h) Initial Adoption and Amendments to the LRTP/MTP are developed and processed in accordance with procedures outlined in the MPO's Participation Plan.
- i) The transit authority's planning process is coordinated with the MPO's planning process.
- j) In non-attainment and maintenance areas the MPO, as well as FHWA and FTA, must make a conformity determination on any updated or amended LRTP/MTP in accordance with 40 CFR Part 93.

#### TIP 23 CFR Part 450.326)

- The TIP is updated at least every 4 years, on a schedule compatible with STIP development.
- b) Each project included in the TIP is consistent with the LRTP/MTP.
- c) The MPO, GDOT and the transit operator collaborate on the development of the TIP.
- d) The TIP contains all projects to be funded under Title 23 U.S.C. and Title 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53.
- The TIP is financially constrained by year and revenue estimates reflect reasonable assumptions.
- f) The MPO TIP is included in the STIP by reference, without modification.
- g) Initial Adoption and Amendments to the TIP are developed and processed in accordance with procedures outlined in the MPO's Participation Plan.
- h) In non-attainment and maintenance areas, the MPO as well as the FHWA and FTA must make a conformity determination on any updated or amended TIP in accordance with 40 CFR Part 93.

July 24, 2025 Page 67 of 72

#### 4. Participation Plan (23 CFR Part 450.316)

- a) A 45-day comment period was provided before the Participation Plan was adopted/revised.
- b) Transportation plans, programs and projects provide timely information about transportation issues and processes to citizens and others who may be affected.
- c) Opportunities are provided for participation by local, State, and federal environmental resource and permit agencies where appropriate.
- d) The public involvement process demonstrates explicit consideration and responsiveness to public input received during the planning and program development process.
- c) The transportation planning process identifies and addresses the needs of those traditionally underserved, including low-income and minority households.
- f) The disposition of comments and changes in the final LRTP/MTP/TIP are documented and reported when significant comments are submitted.
- g) Additional time is provided if the "final" document is significantly different from the draft originally made available for public review.
- h) The MPO undertakes a periodic review of the public involvement process to determine if the process is efficient and provides full an open access for all.

### List of Obligated Projects (23 CFR Part 450.334)

- a) The MPO provides a listing for all projects for which funds are obligated each year, including bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
- b) The annual listing is made available to the public via the TIP or the LRTP/MTP.

## II. In non-attainment and maintenance areas, sections 174 and 176(c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506(c) and (d)) and 40 CFR part 93

- a) The MPO's UPWP incorporates all of the metropolitan transportation-related air quality planning activities addressing air quality goals, including those not funded by FHWA/FTA.
- b) Agreements exist to outline the process for cooperative planning within full nonattainment/maintenance areas that are not designated by the MPO planning area.
- c) The MPO coordinates the development of the LRTP/MTP with SIP development and the development of Transportation Control Measures (TCM) if applicable.
- d) The LRTP/MTP includes design concept and scope descriptions of all existing and proposed transportation facilities in sufficient detail, regardless of funding source, to permit conformity determinations.
- c) The MPO's TIP includes all proposed federally and non-federally funded regionally significant transportation projects, including intermodal facilities.
- If applicable, the MPO ensures priority programming and expeditious implementation
  of

TCMs from the STIP.

July 24, 2025 Page 68 of 72

## III. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part 21

- The MPO has adopted goals, policies, approaches and measurements to address Title VI and related requirements.
- b) The public involvement process is consistent with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Title VI assurance execution by the State.
- c) The MPO has processes, procedures, guidelines, and/or policies that address Title VI, ADA, and DBE.
- d) The MPO has a documented policy on how Title VI complaints will be handled.
- e) The MPO has a demographic profile of the metropolitan planning area that includes identification of the locations of protected populations.
- f) As appropriate, the planning process identifies/considers/addresses the needs of protected/traditionally underserved populations (low-income/minority as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau).
- 1V. 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment of business opportunity
  - a) The MPO adheres to all requirements prohibiting discrimination against a person under, a project, program, or activity receiving financial assistance under because of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, orage.
  - v. Section 1101(b) of the FAST Act (Pub. L. 114-357) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in U.S. DOT funded projects
    - a) The GDOT establishes overall goals for the percentage of work to be performed by DBE's based on the projections of the number and types of federal-aid highway contracts to be awarded and the number and types of DBE's likely to be available to compete for the contracts.
  - VI. 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal- aid highway construction contracts
    - The MPO as required by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, does not discriminate on employment opportunities based on race, color, religion, sex, or national origin;
- VII. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR parts 27, 37, and 38
  - a) The MPO as required by 49 U.S.C. 5332 prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age, and prohibits discrimination in employment or business opportunity, otherwise known as Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 2000d, and U.S. DOT regulations, "Nondiscrimination in Federally- Assisted Programs of the Department of

July 24, 2025 Page 69 of 72

Transportation—Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act," 49 CFR part 21 at 21.7.

- The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting VIII. discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance
  - a) The MPO has identified strategies and services to meet the needs of older persons' needs for transportation planning and programming.
- Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on
  - a) The MPO adheres to the Act on Equality between women and men and prohibits both direct and indirect discrimination based on gender.
  - The MPO adheres to the Equal Pay Act of 1963 (EPA), which protects men and women who perform substantially equal work in the same establishment from sex-based wage discrimination;
- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

a) The MPO adheres to Title I and Title V of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA), which prohibits employment discrimination against qualified individuals with disabilities in the private sector, and in state and local governments.

Donald Lovette, Policy Committee Chairman

Hinesville Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

Vivian Canizares Canizares Date: 2023.09.28

Digitally signed by Vivian

17:35:48-04'00'

Vivian Canizares, Assistant State Transportation Planning Administrator Georgia Department of Transportation, Office of Planning

Date

Matt Markham Digitally signed by Matt Markham Date: 2023.09.29 07:33:31 -04'00'

Matthew Markham, Deputy Director of Planning Georgia Department of Transportation, Office of Planning Date

Page 70 of 72 July 24, 2025

### **DISCRETIONARY GRANT MATRIX**

**EXAMPLE: CORE MPO** 

PROJECT	PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR		GRANT RECIPIENT AGENCY	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	TYPE OF WORK	FUND SOURCE	FEDERAL GRANT AMOUNT	LOCAL MATCH	FUNDING TOTAL	FUNDS FROM TITLE	DELIVERED BY
Example: Savannah I- 16 Ramp Removal Project	2023	Chatham	City of Savannah	The City of Savannah will use the awarded grant funds to do the following activities related to the proposed removal of the I-16 flyover exit ramp over MLK Jr. Boulevard to Montgomery Street: Equitable Redevelopment Plan, Concept Design, Environmental Studies, and Community Outreach Program.	Planning	RCP	\$1,800,000	\$450,000	\$2,250,000	Title 23	Local

July 24, 2025 Page 71 of 72

### PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES

July 24, 2025 Page 72 of 72